## How do stars get their masses?

 andA short look ahead
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## Introduction

Origin of stars is well studied...
birthplaces
star-forming gas
groupings
Origins of stellar mass...?
few available models
New model
cores without boundaries dispersal v. accretion sets $\mathrm{M}_{\star}$

## Results

low $\mathrm{M}_{\star}$ from core high $M_{\star}$ from core + environment varying dispersal times set IMF only clusters make high $\mathrm{M}_{\star}$


Hogerheijde 1998

## Dense gas dispersal



L1551 outflow - Snell, Loren \& Plambeck 80


Cluster outflows generate turbulence Li \& Nakamura 06, Carroll et al 09


Protostars lose their cores after $\ll 1 \mathrm{Myr}$ Jørgensen et al 08

## Cores without boundaries



Observations show "cores" with steep n superposed on "clumps" with shallow n (Kirk et al 06). No "boundary" as in BE model.

Single-star core-environment model $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{\text {SIS }}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}} \quad$ starting to collapse
"Core" defined where steep meets shallow
"Isolated" cores low $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$ sparse
"Clustered" cores high $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$ crowded
Different environments U, L, F

Myers 09

## Available mass increases with $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$

Mass available for spherical infall in terms of core mass and free fall time:
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}_{\text {core }} \theta\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2}$
$\theta=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{r}) / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{E}}<1 ; \mathrm{M}_{\text {core }} \approx \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{J}} / 4$
M / $\mathrm{M}_{\text {core }}$ can exceed 1
Early: dM/dt = constant
( $\sim$ Shu 77)
Late: $\mathrm{dM} / \mathrm{dt} \sim \mathrm{M}^{5 / 3}$
( $\sim$ Bondi 52)


$$
\mathrm{T}=10 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}=10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}
$$

## Accretion model

Realistic accretion: stops gradually with time scale $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{d}}$
Model: accretion stops suddenly at time $\mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{d}}$

Realistic accretion: pressurized, intermittent, complex geometry...

Model: $\mathbf{M}_{\star}=\varepsilon \mathbf{M}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \quad \varepsilon=$ "accretion efficiency"
$\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ cold spherical infall in time $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$
$M_{\star}=\varepsilon M_{\text {core }} \theta\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} \quad \theta=t_{\downarrow} / t_{\mathrm{E}}<1 \quad$ (uniform environment)

## Distribution of infall times

Cold spherical infall stops at $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$

$$
M_{\star}=\varepsilon M_{\text {core }} \theta\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} \quad \theta=t_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{E}}<1
$$

If $\theta$ same for all cores, $\mathrm{M}_{\star} / \mathrm{M}_{\text {core }}=$ constant
MFs have same shape (as in ALL 07)

$$
\star M F \sim C M F
$$

Why should $\theta$ be constant? If $\theta$ is distributed,
$\star M F$ is broader than CMF
Simplest distribution: "waiting time" distribution (Basu \& Jones 04)

$$
\mathrm{p}(\theta) \sim \exp (-\theta /<\theta>)
$$



Alves, Lada \& Lada 07

## Clusters make more massive stars

MFs for identical cores, low and high $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$
low $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}} \quad$ isolated $\star \mathrm{s}$ Taurus
high $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}} \quad$ clustered $\star \mathrm{s}$ Orion
$\left.\mathrm{T}=10 \mathrm{~K} \quad<\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}\right\rangle=0.04 \mathrm{Myr} \quad \varepsilon=1$
Same low-mass peak
due to accretion from within core $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} \sim \sigma^{3} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$, independent of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$

## More massive stars

due to more accretion from beyond core for high $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$, only in clusters

Prediction: only low-mass stars should form in filaments of low $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}$


## Combined distributions



## Combined MF matches IMF

Same T, $\left\langle\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}>, \varepsilon, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E} 0}\right.$ as before. Combine with log-normal MF of
"single-star" cores, vary width for best match to IMF

Best match requires single-star CMF narrower than IMF, narrower than observed CMF

Why do observed CMFs match IMF? (Swift \& Williams 08, Hatchell \& Fuller 08)

## Initial conditions for IMF




## Alternate approach:

Use IMF and waiting-time distribution to derive $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})$ typical of IMF-clusters
Steep inside, shallow outside- like "TNT"model (Fuller, Ladd, Caselli).
This "clustered" profile resembles "isolated" profile, but is warmer and denser.

## Implications

If all of this were true...

Cores $n(r)$ steep inside (thermal), shallow outside (magnetic, turbulent) form protostars, but core and protostar mass only weakly related

Protostars mass can be less than or greater than core mass low and high mass form in the same protocluster

MFs IMF a weighted record of the most common star formation conditions
Width of single-star CMF < (width of observed CMF, width of IMF)

## A short look ahead

| Processes | What makes protoclusters? <br> How does their dense gas structure evolve? <br> How does their protostar accretion start? stop? <br> What does their MF depend on? <br> What are we missing? |
| :--- | :--- |
| Where to look | high column density <br> high protostar fraction <br> more distant "nearest" regions |
| Scales | cluster 1 pc <br> core $\quad 0.1$ pc <br> disk $10^{-4}$ pc (20 AU) |
| ToolsSpitzer, Herschel, SOFIA, SCUBA-2, GBT, LMT, SMA, <br> CARMA, PdBI, ALMA... <br> adaptive mesh codes 3D MHD, gravity, realistic ICs |  |
| ...and smart, motivated people! |  |

The bigger picture...

## Phil's Star Formation web

CMB



