Springtime transitions of NO₂, CO, and O₃ over North America: Model evaluation and analysis
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Surface observations from AIRNow and Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization Study networks, aircraft observations from the Measurement of Ozone and Water Vapor by Airbus In-Service Aircraft program, ozonesondes, and remote sensing measurements from Global Ozone Mapping Experiment, Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS), and Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II for February–May 2000 over North America are used to characterize the springtime transitions of O₃ and its precursors. These measurements provide a comprehensive data set to evaluate the performance of the 3-D Regional Chemical Transport Model (REAM). The model is then applied to analyze the key factors affecting the springtime transitions of trace gas concentrations and export. The global GEOS-CHEM model is used to provide chemical initial and boundary conditions. Generally, the model results are in good agreement with the observations in the troposphere except for a low bias of upper tropospheric O₃; the bias decreases toward the summer and lower latitudes. The rate of observed surface O₃ increase in spring is simulated well by REAM. It is overestimated by GEOS-CHEM over the eastern United States. A key factor driving the model difference is daytime mixing depth. A shallow boundary layer in REAM leads to more efficient removal of radicals and hence slower activation of photochemistry in spring, when the primary radical source is relatively small. Comparison of top-down estimates of fossil fuel NOₓ emissions between REAM and GEOS-CHEM shows model dependence. The associated uncertainty is up to 20% on a monthly basis. Averaging over a season reduces this uncertainty. While tropospheric column NO₂ decreases over the continent, it increases over the western North Atlantic due to lightning NOₓ production. Consequently, the REAM model simulates significant increases of tropospheric O₃ over the region as indicated by column data derived from TOMS-SAGE II. Lightning impact is also evident in model-simulated NOₓ exports.


1. Introduction

[2] Ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO), and nitrogen oxides (NOₓ = NO + NO₂), which are regulated under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, are among the six criterion pollutants that adversely affect human health and biological ecosystems [National Research Council, 1991]. Ozone is a major precursor of the hydroxyl radical (OH), which plays a key role in oxidation chemistry in the troposphere. It is also a greenhouse gas, particularly in the upper troposphere. NOₓ and CO are major O₃ precursors produced during combustion. NOₓ is also emitted from soils and lightning, and CO can be produced during the oxidation of anthropogenic and biogenic hydrocarbons.

[3] Springtime is a unique period to understand the behaviors of O₃ and its precursors over North America because of the rapid changes in the photochemical and dynamical conditions of the atmosphere. These changes are driven primarily by increasing solar insolation. The solar input energizes photochemical and meteorological processes directly by increasing radical sources through photolysis and the surface sensible and latent heat fluxes, respectively.
Meteorological changes are a powerful force that leads to changes in chemical processes. First, increasing water vapor due to warmer temperature increases the primary radical source through OH production from the reaction of O(1D) and H2O, which results in more active photochemistry [e.g., Wang et al., 2003a; Kondo et al., 2004]. Second, the abundance of water vapor and surface heating increase convection and lightning [Rind, 1998; Price, 2000], which is a large source of NOx in the free troposphere [e.g., Price et al., 1997]. Third, warmer surface temperature and precipitation increase NOx emissions from soils [e.g., Yienger and Levy, 1995]. One key atmospheric chemical species affected by all these processes is tropospheric O3.

The Tropospheric Ozone Production about the Spring Equinox (TOPSE) experiment of 2000 was designed to measure tropospheric chemical changes during the spring transition period [Atlas et al., 2003]. The experiment took place from February to May 2000 over North America covering the region from Colorado to north of Thule, Greenland. These measurements were taken over remote regions at middle and high latitudes; in situ photochemistry [e.g., Cantrell et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003a] and large-scale transport of O3 and its precursors to the measurement regions [e.g., Emmons et al., 2003; Lamarque and Hess, 2003; Wang et al., 2003b; Allen et al., 2003; Y. Wang et al., 2006] are among the foci of previous TOPSE-related tropospheric chemistry analyses.

In this work, we explore the other aspects of tropospheric chemical changes during the spring transition periods, not covered by previous TOPSE-related analyses, using the rich data sets of in situ and satellite measurements available during the same time period over North America. In the process, we evaluate the Regional Chemical Transport Model (REAM). Certain aspects of this model have been evaluated in our previous applications of this model to analyze tropospheric chemistry and transport over the polar regions [Zeng et al., 2003, 2006; Wang et al., 2007] and North America [Choi et al., 2005; Jing et al., 2006; Y. Wang et al., 2006; Guillas et al., 2008]. However, those evaluations lacked breadth because of the nature of the previous analyses. This is the first time that the evaluations of REAM model results with an extensive array of the observations are presented. For comparison purposes, selected results from the global GEOS-CHEM model are used to illustrate key factors contributing to the observed features of springtime transitions of chemicals.

The springtime chemical changes provide a critical test for the simulation capability of REAM. The quality of the emission inventories strongly affects the model simulations of air quality. Emissions of NOx, in particular, are important for near-surface O3 simulations. Satellite observations provide powerful constraints on surface NOx emissions [e.g., Martin et al., 2003]. We evaluate the model NOx emission inventory using satellite NO2 measurements first. We further investigate the springtime transitions of different NOx sources. Second, we explore the changes of near-surface O3, CO, and NOx concentrations during spring. Surface air quality changes are characterized from the observations of two monitoring networks, AIRNow by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization Study (SEARCH). Third, we study the springtime changes of free-tropospheric O3 as characterized by in situ measurements from the Measurement of Ozone and Water Vapor by Airbus In-Service Aircraft (MOZAIC) program and ozonesondes, and tropospheric column O3 derived from the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) and the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II. Last, using the results of the REAM model, we examine the springtime exports of O3 and its precursors from North America [e.g., Horowitz et al., 1998; Liang et al., 1998; Park et al., 2004].

We first describe the in situ and satellite measurements. The regional REAM and global GEOS-CHEM models are then described. After that, we examine the specific aspects of the springtime transitions of NOx, CO, and O3. Last, we investigate the import and exports of these trace gases in spring. Conclusions are given in the end. In the appendix, we describe briefly the comparison of REAM simulations to observed column CO by the Measurement of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) instrument.

2. Measurements
2.1. In Situ Measurements
2.1.1. EPA AIRNow Network

Hourly O3 concentrations are obtained from the EPA AIRNow data archives. The sites are divided into three categories: urban, suburban, and rural. The 291 rural sites are used since they are more representative of the region than urban sites. The detection limit value for O3 was 5 ppbv. We focus on the afternoon (1300 to 1700 LT) when surface observations are more representative because of strong turbulent mixing in the planetary boundary layer (PBL). The CO and NOx measurements are not used because of their high method detection limits of 0.5 ppmv for CO and 5 ppbv for NOx (J. Summers, personal communication, 2004). Rural CO and NOx measurements are usually below the detection limit values (reported as one-half the detection limit).

2.1.2. SEARCH Network

Hourly O3, NO, and CO are measured at eight SEARCH sites: Yorkville in Georgia (YRK, rural), Jefferson Street in Atlanta, Georgia (JST, urban), Centreville in Alabama (CTR, rural), Birmingham in Alabama (BHM, urban), Gulfport in Mississippi (GFP, urban), Oak Grove in Mississippi (OAK, rural), Outlying Landing Field 8 in Florida (OLF, suburban), and Pensacola in Florida (PNS, urban). For our analysis, we use observations from the following rural and suburban sites: YRK (85°W, 34°N), CTR (87°W, 33°N), OAK (89°W, 32°N), and OLF (87°W, 30°N). The detection limits of O3, NO, and CO are 1 ppbv, 50 pptv, and 10 ppbv, respectively.

2.1.3. MOZAIC Program

The MOZAIC program was designed to automatically collect O3 and water vapor data on five commercial Airbus A340 aircraft [Marenco et al., 1998]. For recent updates, see http://www.aero.obs-mip.fr/mozaic/. For the ascent and descent portions of the flights, MOZAIC raw data (4-s time resolution) are averaged over 150-m height intervals. The MOZAIC analyzer is the dual-beam UV absorption Model 49–103 from Thermo Environment Instruments [Thouret et al., 1998]. The instruments are laboratory-calibrated before and after flight periods and
laboratory-recalibrated every 12 to 18 months. During flight operation, the instrument is automatically checked for zero and the calibration factor using a built-in O₃ generator.

2.1.4. Ozonesondes

[12] We use ozonesonde data from six midlatitude stations located between 35° and 53°N: Goose Bay (53°N, 50°W), Richland (46°N, 119°W), Trinidad Head (41°N, 124°W), Boulder (40°N, 105°W), Wallops Island (38°N, 75°W), and Huntsville (35°N, 87°W). The electrochemical concentration cell (ECC) sensor is typically used and the accuracy is about ±6% on the ground and ~7% to 17% in the middle and upper troposphere [Komhyr et al., 1995]. The ozonesonde data are obtained from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Data Center (WUDOC).

2.2. Satellite Measurements

2.2.1. Tropospheric NO₂ Vertical Column From GOME

[13] The Global Ozone Mapping Experiment (GOME) instrument is on board the European Remote Sensing-2 (ERS-2) satellite that passes over the equator at 1030 local time (LT), and its typical horizontal resolution is 40 km (along track) by 320 km (cross track). The retrieval algorithm and air mass factor calculation are described in detail by Chance et al. [2000] and Martin et al. [2002]. First, the NO₂ slant column is determined by fitting directly back-scattered radiance spectra measured by GOME. Then the stratospheric column determined from the NO₂ column over the central Pacific [Martin et al., 2002] is subtracted from the total column. Finally, the subtracted columns are converted to vertical columns using air mass factors, which are an integral of the product of the shape factor from model-calculated vertical profiles and the sensitivity of backscattered radiance to NO₂. The radiance perturbation due to the change of NO₂ is calculated from the Linearized Discrete Ordinate Radiative Transfer (LIDORT) model [Spurr et al., 2001], which considers multiple scattering in the atmosphere. Data of cloud optical depth and fraction are from GOME [Kurosu et al., 1999]. The monthly mean fields of aerosol mass concentrations are taken from the Global Ozone Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport (GOCART) model [Chin et al., 2002], which simulates 3-D distributions of sulfate, mineral dust, sea salt, black carbon, and organic carbon. We do not use GOME measurements when cloud cover is >40% [Martin et al., 2002]. The retrieval uncertainties are mostly due to spectral fitting, spectral artifacts related to the diffuser plate, removal of the stratospheric column, and air mass factor calculations [Martin et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2005]. The uncertainties are generally 0.6–1.2 × 10^12 molecules cm⁻² over the ocean and 1.0–3.5 × 10^15 molecules cm⁻² over the continent.

2.2.2. Tropospheric O₃ Column From TOMS and SAGE II

[14] TOMS on board the Earth Probe satellite that crosses the equator at 1116 local time measures incident solar radiation and backscattered ultraviolet sunlight. Total atmospheric O₃ columns have a horizontal resolution of 39 × 39 km² with a measurement uncertainty of about 5%. SAGE II on board the Earth Radiation Budget Satellite (ERBS) measured the Earth limb extinction via the solar occultation technique during each spacecraft sunrise and sunset. The horizontal and vertical resolutions of SAGE are about 30 × 250 km² and 1 km, respectively. Scatterplots of SAGE II (retrieval version 6.2) O₃ versus potential vorticity (PV) on isentropic surfaces are used to produce the O₃ profiles in the stratosphere [Jing et al., 2004], which are coincident in latitude, longitude, and time with TOMS (version 8) total column O₃ measurements. When the TOMS data indicate a reflectivity <20%, tropospheric O₃ columns are inferred by subtracting the SAGE II-based stratospheric from the TOMS columns. The PV values are obtained from the NCEP reanalysis data set, and a value of 3.5 PV units is used to define the location of the tropopause.

[15] By comparisons with the ozonesonde measurements, two previous studies [H.-J. Wang et al., 2002, 2006] indicate that SAGE O₃ has an accuracy of 10% or better down to the tropopause and that the SAGE data are 5% higher than the ozonesonde values at 15–20 km. The PV-mapped SAGE O₃ column estimates between 340 and 800 K isentropic surfaces (~10–30 km) have a 4% error, compared to the ozonesonde observations at 30–60°N. Considering that 90% of total column O₃ resides in the stratosphere, the uncertainty of the derived tropospheric column O₃ is ~40%. The uncertainty is expected to decrease when tropospheric columns are averaged over a period of a month. In our analysis, we focus more on the qualitative aspects of model results in comparison to TOMS-SAGE II tropospheric O₃ columns.

3. Model Descriptions

[16] In this work, REAM has a horizontal resolution of 70 km with 23 vertical layers reaching 10 hPa, 20 of which are below 100 hPa. The National Center for Atmospheric Research/Penn State MM5 is used to simulate meteorological fields using four-dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) [Stauffer et al., 1991] based on the National Center for Environmental Prediction reanalysis, surface, and rawinsonde observations. Most of the meteorological variables are archived every 30 min, except those for convection and lightning which are archived every 2.5 min because of the highly variable nature of these processes. The horizontal domain of MM5 has five extra grids on each side of the REAM domain to minimize potential transport anomalies near the boundary. We use the ETA Mellor-Janjic (MYJ) 2.5-order closure scheme [Black, 1994] for turbulence calculations. Regional simulations are spun up in the last week of January 2000.

[17] The photochemical, dry, and wet deposition modules of REAM are adopted from the GEOS-CHEM model [Bey et al., 2001]. The altitude-dependent cloud optical depth is calculated using MM5 liquid water content [Stephens et al., 1978]. The UV surface albedo distribution, for photolysis rate calculations, is obtained from TOMS observations [Herman and Celarier, 1997]. The transport scheme is from Walcek [2000]. The convective scheme by Grell [1993] is implemented to be consistent with the meteorological model; subgrid-scale updraft and downdraft processes and large-scale subsidence are considered. The top and bottom layers of shallow convection are determined by MM5 simulations; the cloud fraction is determined using the scheme described by Geleyn [1981].

[18] Emission inventories for combustion and industrial sources are taken from GEOS-CHEM [Bey et al., 2001],
except the fossil fuel NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emission inventories over the United States, which are taken from the 1999 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency National Emission Inventory. These values are scaled with the national total emissions of 2000 [U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2003]. Emission algorithms for vegetation and soils are adopted from GEOS-CHEM, although meteorological inputs are from MM5. Parameterizations of NO\textsubscript{x} from lightning as functions of convective mass flux and convective available potential energy are the same as described by Choi et al. [2005].

[16] Spring 2000 GEOS-CHEM model simulations provide initial and boundary conditions for trace gases. GEOS-CHEM (version 7.2) is driven by GEOS assimilated meteorological fields (GEOS-3) for 2000. The horizontal resolution of GEOS-CHEM is 2° latitude by 2.5° longitude. Detailed algorithms for photochemistry, dry and wet deposition, and emissions, many of which are adopted in REAM as discussed above, are described by Bey et al. [2001]. Algorithms of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from lightning based on cloud top height by Price and Rind [1994] and from soils by Yienger and Levy [1995] were adapted by Wang et al. [1998].

4. Surface Emissions of Fossil Fuel NO\textsubscript{x}

[20] In situ observations of NO\textsubscript{x} from the EPA surface network do not provide constraints on surface NO\textsubscript{x} emissions because of instrument issues (section 2.1). What is available to constrain this important O\textsubscript{3} precursor is column NO\textsubscript{3} measurements by satellites such as GOME [e.g., Martin et al., 2003]. Because satellite measurements are integrated over the atmospheric column, retrievals are more complex than in situ measurements. We first examine the dependence of NO\textsubscript{2} retrievals on the a priori model profiles. We then characterize the observed tropospheric vertical column NO\textsubscript{2} and the factors contributing the observed seasonal change. Last, we apply the inversion method to examine the quantitative constraints on surface NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.

4.1. Dependence of GOME NO\textsubscript{2} Retrievals on the A Priori Profiles

[21] The retrieval process is described in section 2.2. To obtain the tropospheric vertical columns, model profiles of NO\textsubscript{2} are used to calculate the air mass factor. As a result, some model dependence of the retrieval is therefore convoluted in the retrieved vertical columns. As will be discussed in the next section, REAM and GEOS-CHEM models simulate different vertical profiles of tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} (to be shown in Figure 6). We therefore compute the air mass factors separately from these two model results as a way of examining the sensitivity of GOME retrievals to the simulated NO\textsubscript{2} vertical profiles. Figure 1 shows good agreement between GOME NO\textsubscript{2} column retrievals using the REAM and GEOS-CHEM profiles between February and May 2000. The spatial correlation coefficient between the two models is $>0.99$. The monthly mean NO\textsubscript{2} columns of the retrievals using REAM profiles are higher by 6.1, 12.0, 5.5, and 0.4% from February to May than those using GEOS-CHEM profiles. Generally, a value of 15% is estimated as the NO\textsubscript{2}-profile associated retrieval uncertainty [Martin et al., 2002], which encompasses the range of model derived difference found here.

4.2. Characterizations of Tropospheric Column NO\textsubscript{2}

[22] GOME derived tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} columns in Figure 1 shows a decreasing trend over the continental region, particularly over high emissions regions in the Northeast and Midwest of the United States. In contrast, there is a clear increasing trend over the western North Atlantic. Comparing the two model simulations to the retrieved column NO\textsubscript{2}, the mean biases are within 11%, and the correlations are high (R $> 0.85$). Over the continent, the two models show similar trends as observed. The decreasing trend simulated in the model is driven by more active photochemistry as spring progresses toward summer. As a result, more NO\textsubscript{2} is removed by the reaction of OH and NO\textsubscript{2}. Owing to its coarse spatial resolution, the GEOS-CHEM model fails to capture high NO\textsubscript{2} columns ($>5 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$) in California, which are shown in REAM results. In April and May, both models slightly underestimate NO\textsubscript{2} columns in the western United States probably due to underestimated soil NO\textsubscript{x} emissions [e.g., Martin et al., 2003; Bertram et al., 2005]. While REAM tends to slightly overestimate GOME NO\textsubscript{2} columns in May partly due to larger lightning NO\textsubscript{x} productions, GEOS-CHEM tends to underestimate.

[23] The large increase of column NO\textsubscript{2} over the western North Atlantic, despite increasing photochemical removal as the season progresses is captured by REAM. In comparison, no significant changes are shown in GEOS-CHEM simulations, leading to underestimates of column NO\textsubscript{2} in the region. We discuss the contributions of several factors to tropospheric column NO\textsubscript{2} in section 4.3.

4.3. Contributions of Lightning, Soil Emissions, and Convection to Column NO\textsubscript{2}

[24] We compute the contributions of lightning production, convective transport, and soil emissions in the model by comparing the standard REAM simulation against simulations with one of these processes turned off. Figure 2 shows monthly mean column differences between the standard and sensitivity simulations. In February and March, lightning NO\textsubscript{2} enhancements are typically $<3.0 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, but in April and May, they increase to $0.5-2.0 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ over the southern United States, the Gulf of Mexico, and the western North Atlantic. Typical monthly mean uncertainties for GOME retrievals are $2-9 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ over ocean, which is 20–70% of typical uncertainties over the continent. Large enhancements from lightning and soil emissions simulated in May are larger than the retrieval errors on a monthly mean basis.

[25] The lightning and soil emission contributions over land increase to 10 and 7% of tropospheric column NO\textsubscript{2}, respectively, in May (from 0.7 and 1.3% in February). The estimated contribution of lightning is larger than that of soil emissions, except in February. The springtime increase of tropospheric column NO\textsubscript{2} over the western North Atlantic is driven by lightning NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in the REAM simulations. The fractional contribution to NO\textsubscript{2} columns over this region by lightning increases from 5% in February to 32% in May. The increasing trend is not simulated by GEOS-
CHEM because the algorithm by Price and Rind [1994] gives very low flash rates over the ocean.

4.4. Top-Down Constraints on Fossil Fuel NO\textsubscript{x} Emissions

[26] Optimized NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are estimated by combining top-down NO\textsubscript{x} emission estimates from satellite measurements with a priori bottom-up emissions, weighted by relative errors for the two estimates [Martin et al., 2003]. Both REAM and GEOS-CHEM use the same EPA 1999 NEI inventory for surface fossil fuel NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in the United States as the a priori. One implicit assumption in the method by Martin et al. [2003] that we use here is that fossil fuel, soil, and lightning NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are scaled by the same top-down to a priori factor. From February to April, the contributions of soil and lightning emissions to NO\textsubscript{2} columns are <7% in REAM and GEOS-CHEM. That fraction increases to 13–17% in May. Considering that the a posteriori changes we calculated are <15%, the inversion is largely dominated by fossil fuel NO\textsubscript{x}.

[27] The top-down NO\textsubscript{x} fossil fuel emission inventory \((E_t)\) is first calculated following Martin et al. [2003] by fitting \(E_t\) to a priori bottom-up emission \(E_a\) with the ratio of the retrieved NO\textsubscript{2} column \((\Omega_r)\) to the simulated column \((\Omega_s)\):

\[
E_t = E_a \times \frac{\Omega_r}{\Omega_s}. \tag{1}
\]

Monthly a posteriori emissions \((E)\) are then calculated by the weighted averages of \(E_a\) and \(E_t\) [Martin et al., 2003]:

\[
\ln E = \frac{(\ln E_t)(\ln \varepsilon_a)^2+(\ln E_a)(\ln \varepsilon_t)^2}{(\ln \varepsilon_a)^2+(\ln \varepsilon_t)^2} \tag{2}
\]

where \(\varepsilon_a\) and \(\varepsilon_t\) are the a priori inventory and top-down emission errors, respectively.

[28] Table 1 shows the monthly a priori, top-down, and a posteriori emissions derived using REAM and GEOS-CHEM results, respectively. The a priori emissions

Figure 1. Monthly mean tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} vertical columns during February–May 2000 from Global Ozone Mapping Experiment (GOME) retrievals using the Regional Chemical Transport Model (REAM)-derived shape factor (first column), the REAM model (second column), GOME retrievals using the GEOS-CHEM-derived shape factor (third column), and the GEOS-CHEM model (last column). Only measurements with cloud fraction \(\leq 40\%\) are used. The text provides the description of retrieval process. The model results are obtained by averaging NO\textsubscript{2} data during the satellite overpass time period (1000–1100 LT).
from REAM and GEOS-CHEM are almost the same, but the top-down estimates sometimes differ significantly. The top-down emissions derived by REAM are larger by 3% to 16% than those of GEOS-CHEM between February and April and are smaller by 20% than GEOS-CHEM in May. Two sources contribute the variations in the top-down estimates. One is due to the difference in model simulated vertical profiles of NO$_2$ and hence the calculated air mass factors discussed in section 4.1. The other is the difference in model simulated emission-column relationship used in equation (1). For comparison, the standard deviation of monthly averages from the 4-month average is 16–19% in each model, comparable to the difference between the two model estimates for each month. Therefore, time averaging (>1 month) is needed to derive a more robust top-down estimate. On a seasonal basis, the top-down and a posteriori emissions are almost exactly the same as the a priori.

5. Spring Transitions of Near-Surface Air Quality

Springtime air quality changes can be characterized by the measurements from the EPA AIRNow monitoring network. To avoid the problems in the reported CO and NO$_x$

**Figure 2.** Monthly mean contributions of lightning production, convection, and soil emissions to tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical columns.

**Table 1.** Monthly North America (20–62°N) Fossil Fuel NO$_x$ Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>REAM Derived</th>
<th>GEOS-CHEM Derived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A Priori</td>
<td>Top-Down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Emissions are measured in Tg N month$^{-1}$. REAM is Regional Chemical Transport Model.
measurements from this network (section 2.1), we first make use of the measurements of NO, CO, and O$_3$ from the SEARCH networks, even though the geographic coverage of the SEARCH data set is limited.

5.1. Spring Trends of NO, CO, and O$_3$ at SEARCH Sites

[30] Inspection of NO, CO, and O$_3$ concentrations at the four SEARCH rural sites (CTR, OAK, OLF, and YRK, section 2.1) in February–May 2000 reveals that these sites show similar multiday temporal patterns (not shown), which are driven by synoptic-scale meteorological changes over the region. To illustrate the comparison, we show the results at the OAK site in Figure 3. Hourly NO concentrations vary significantly between day and night because of the shallow boundary layer at night. We show only daily 1300–1700 LT values for NO. The temporal variation pattern is not well characterized by NO concentrations even though that periods with elevated NO at all four sites can be found. The model has some capability to capture the NO variations. The multiday synoptic-scale variations are better characterized by CO and O$_3$, which have longer chemical lifetimes. These variations are simulated by the model.

[31] The correlations coefficients between REAM and SEARCH NO are higher at CTR and OAK sites (0.66 and 0.56, respectively) than OLF and YRK sites (0.40 and 0.23, respectively). The lower correlations at the latter two sites reflect the coastal location of the OLF site and the large influence of power plant emissions at the YRK site. The model resolution is too coarse to simulate properly the influence of power plant plumes. The correlation coefficients for CO are in the range of 0.52–0.63 except the YRK site (0.48). Too much influence from CO emissions in Atlanta is simulated in the model because the proximity of Yorkville to Atlanta (60 km). The correlation coefficients for O$_3$ are in the range of 0.65–0.69.

[32] The seasonal transitions of NO, CO, and O$_3$ are different. Concentrations of NO decrease, reflecting in part the increasing photochemical loss of NO$_x$. The trend is consistent with GOME measurements (Figure 1). The seasonal decrease of CO is relatively small during spring.

Figure 3. Observed and simulated afternoon (1300–1700 LT) average NO and hourly CO and O$_3$ at the Oak Grove site (89°W, 32°N). The solid black lines represent the Southeastern Aerosol Research and Characterization Study (SEARCH) measurements and red lines represent the REAM results.
Increasing CO loss due to increasing OH oxidation is compensated for by faster CO production from VOC oxidation. Ozone, in comparison, clearly has an increasing trend as photochemistry becomes more active. These trends are simulated well by REAM. We also compared GEOS-CHEM simulations with these surface observations (not shown). One large difference between REAM and GEOS-CHEM is that GEOS-CHEM tends to overestimate surface O$_3$ concentrations in April and May. We look at this issue using the measurements from the AIRNow network, which has good spatial coverage over the contiguous United States.

5.2. Surface O$_3$ Increase in AIRNow Measurements

[33] Monthly mean afternoon (1300–1700 LT) O$_3$ concentrations measured by the EPA AIRNow surface sites are compared with REAM and the GEOS-CHEM simulations from February to May (Figure 4). High O$_3$ peaks are captured by REAM to within 10 ppbv. The correlation coefficients of the REAM and GEOS-CHEM results with the measurements are in the range of 0.56–0.65 and 0.44–0.68, respectively. The correlations between the GEOS-CHEM and AIRNow observations decrease as the season progresses toward summer while the opposite is true for REAM.

[34] As the season processes toward summer, increasing solar influx and water vapor activates photochemistry [e.g., Wang et al., 2003a]. Both REAM and GEOS-CHEM simulate the resulting increase in surface O$_3$. The rates of photochemical activation and surface O$_3$ increases are better simulated in REAM than in GEOS-CHEM. The global model simulates higher O$_3$ concentrations over the eastern United States in April and May than AIRNow observations. Inspections of the model difference between REAM and GEOS-CHEM reveal that a major contributing factor is the differences in the boundary layer mixing depth used in the models.

5.3. Daytime Mixing Depth and Surface O$_3$

[35] It was known that mixing depth of the boundary layer affects surface O$_3$ concentrations [e.g., Holzworth, 1964, 1967]. From February to May, both MM5 and GEOS-
3 predict increasing boundary layer mixing depth as solar insolation increases (Figure 5). However, MM5 predicted mixing depths (used in REAM) are in general lower than GEOS-3 predictions (used in GOES-CHEM). The difference is particularly large over the eastern United States, where the difference is up to a factor of 2. Unfortunately, routine meteorological observations do not provide useful information to evaluate model simulated afternoon mixing depth.

The shallower mixing depth in REAM results in stronger boundary layer vertical gradients and higher surface concentrations of CO and NO\textsubscript{x} but lower concentrations of O\textsubscript{3} than GEOS-CHEM over the eastern United States (Figure 6). The effect of boundary layer mixing is best demonstrated by CO distributions since its photochemical source is relatively small compared to emissions and its lifetime is long. Below 900 hPa, REAM simulates higher concentrations than GEOS-CHEM. The opposite is true between 700 and 850 hPa, reflecting more efficient mixing in GEOS-CHEM. From March to May, the difference of CO near the surface REAM and GEOS-CHEM increases, reflecting a faster mixing depth increase in GEOS-CHEM than REAM. Similar characteristics are found for NO\textsubscript{x} and O\textsubscript{3}. Surface CO and NO\textsubscript{x} are larger by \(~50\) ppbv and \(~1\) ppbv, respectively, in the REAM results. In contrast, REAM surface O\textsubscript{3} mixing ratios are lower by \(~5–10\) ppbv. REAM simulated increase of NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations in the upper troposphere, particularly in May, is due to lightning NO\textsubscript{x} production.

During spring, the radical source, which is largely driven by photon flux and water vapor [e.g., Wang et al., 2003a], is limited. High concentrations of NO\textsubscript{x} decrease photochemical activity because of increasing radical loss through the reaction of OH and NO\textsubscript{2}. Thus, less active mixing in REAM results in lower surface O\textsubscript{3} production and concentrations than GEOS-CHEM during spring, lead-

---

**Figure 5.** Average afternoon (1200–1600 LT) mixing depths over North America in March–May 2000. The data used in REAM (left column) are simulated by MM5, and those used in GEOS-CHEM (right column) are simulated by GEOS-3.
ing to better agreement with AIRNow surface measurements (Figure 4).

6. Free-Tropospheric O$_3$ in Spring

6.1. In Situ O$_3$ Measurements

[38] We first examine in Figure 7a the vertical O$_3$ profiles from ozonesondes and MOZAIC program during spring. MOZAIC profiles are taken during takeoff and landing from cities including Toronto, New York City, Chicago, Washington, D. C., Atlanta, Houston, and Dallas. Most of the data are over the eastern United States because the measurements were made on commercial flights between the United States and Europe. Model results are in good agreement with the measurements in the lower and middle troposphere, except for a low bias of 10 ppbv at Wallops Island. The site is located at a baroclinic zone with large O$_3$ gradients [Thouret et al., 2006], making it more difficult to simulate in the model. The boundary layer O$_3$ trend in spring was discussed in section 5. We investigate the seasonal O$_3$ trend in the free troposphere (400–800 hPa) in Figure 7b. Ozoneosonde and MOZAIC measurements show an increase of ~10 ppbv from February to May. This magnitude of increase is captured well by REAM, although REAM monthly mean mixing ratios are lower than the measurements by ~3 ppbv.

[39] In the upper troposphere, however, the REAM model has a clear tendency to underestimate. To illustrate the spatial and temporal distributions, we show in Figure 8 a comparison of MOZAIC measurements with REAM results at 150–250 hPa from February to May. MOZAIC measurements have better data coverage in this region than lower altitudes because it is close to the cruise altitude of aircraft. We filtered out mixing ratios >200 ppbv in the measurements (and model results) to minimize the effects of extreme values.

[40] In general, REAM tends to underestimate O$_3$ concentration measurements. Thouret et al. [2006] found that spring maximum O$_3$ in the MOZAIC measurements is located in the lower stratosphere, where O$_3$ concentrations range from 150 to 500 ppbv with a strong vertical gradient near the tropopause region. The upper tropospheric O$_3$ simulations in REAM are strongly affected by the specified upper boundary conditions at 100 hPa from GEOS-CHEM, which exhibits difficulties in simulating the sharp O$_3$ gradient across the tropopause [Bey et al., 2001]. REAM results in the upper troposphere improve toward May as tropospheric photochemical production contributes more to upper tropospheric ozone. In the same vein, Figure 7a shows that model low bias improves significantly as we
move toward the lower-latitude sites or cities, where photometric production of ozone is more active.

6.2. TOMS-SAGE II Tropospheric Column O$_3$

Ozonesonde and MOZIAC data do not provide enough coverage to allow examination of the spatial distribution of the spring increase of tropospheric ozone. We use the tropospheric column O$_3$ derived from TOMS and SAGE II measurements (section 2.2) here to qualitatively examine the seasonal transition in tropospheric O$_3$ columns. Figure 9 compares TOMS-SAGE II tropospheric O$_3$ column with REAM and GEOS-CHEM results from February to May 2000. The satellite products and the models show a spring-time increase in tropospheric O$_3$ over North America, even though the absolute amounts of column O$_3$ derived from TOMS and SAGE II do not agree well with either model. Uncertainties in the derived tropospheric column O$_3$ (section 2.2) are likely a large contributor to the disagreement. High O$_3$ columns are clearly shown over the western North Atlantic in the satellite-derived columns, particularly in May. REAM produces significant enhancements over the region while GEOS-CHEM does not. A sensitivity REAM simulation without lightning NO production exhibits much weaker enhancements, suggesting that lightning NO production is a large contributor to O$_3$ enhancements over the western North Atlantic. While simulated lightning NOx enhancements cover a broad region (Figure 1), the resulting O$_3$ enhancements are mainly in the southern region, where solar insolation is large and photochemistry is active.

7. Pollutant Import and Export

7.1. Vertical Profiles of Pollutant Fluxes

Fluxes of NOx, NOy, CO, and O$_3$ imported to and exported from the troposphere over North America are estimated using REAM (the model boundaries are depicted in Figure 9). Fluxes through the western and eastern
boundaries are a factor of 18 larger than through the north and south boundaries. Here, we focus on longitudinal fluxes. Figure 10 shows the vertical profiles of longitudinal import and export fluxes of these pollutants. While the export (in the eastern boundary) of CO decrease, exports of NO_x and NO_y show increases. The large increase of NO_x in the upper troposphere is driven primarily by lightning NO_x production. For example, simulated NO_x export fluxes at 12 km increase from 1.8 × 10^7 moles d^{-1} to 4 × 10^7 moles d^{-1} from February to May. Sensitivity studies (not shown) indicate that lightning production enhances NO_x and NO_y exports in the upper troposphere (8–12 km) by 250% and 66%, respectively, in May. Lightning NO_x is oxidized to longer-lived NO_y species, which have longer lifetimes. Therefore the vertical gradient of NO_y export is much less that of NO_x. The decrease of CO export is associated in part with much longer lifetimes of CO during winter, allowing for the accumulation of CO at middle and high latitudes. As oxidation becomes more active in spring, tropospheric CO concentrations begin to decrease; both export and import fluxes decrease. Ozone export in the upper troposphere is due mainly to transport from the stratosphere over North America in May, likely due to higher lightning NO_x production in this study.

### 7.2. Import/Export Fluxes in the Boundary Layer and Export Efficiencies for NO_x and NO_y

We chose 2.5 km as the top of the boundary layer as in PK04. Table 2 lists the import and export fluxes. For NO_x and NO_y, export fluxes are larger by a factor of >10 than import fluxes. The net export is driven mainly by fossil fuel sources. The imports of O_3 and CO show consistent decreasing trends. Decreasing photochemical lifetimes of these species and weakening westerlies from spring to summer reduce the effects of long-range transport. The exports of O_3 and CO also decrease from February to April. In May, the export of both gases increase because of more active convection in REAM, leading to efficient export into the free troposphere. The net exports estimated in REAM for May are similar to the June estimates by PK04 for NO_x, NO_y, and CO. The export of O_3 in REAM (for May) is lower than PK04 (for June). The reasons are likely twofold. First, photochemical production is more active in June than in May. Second, PK04 used meteorological fields from

![Figure 7b](image-url)  
**Figure 7b.** Observed and simulated monthly tropospheric O_3 mixing ratios at 400–800 hPa. The solids lines show means and standard deviations of ozonesonde and MOZAIC measurements. The dotted lines show corresponding REAM results.

For comparison with previous studies, we use that by Park et al. [2004, hereinafter referred to as PK04] because of the large number of flux values provided. Import fluxes of NO_x and NO_y in this study are similar to those from PK04. However, the peak NO_x and NO_y exports in May in this study are larger than that from PK04 by a factor of 5 and 38%, respectively. In PK04, less CO was exported than imported above 7 km in June; they suggested that the net import of CO is due to a stronger jet stream over the Pacific than over the Atlantic. A similar feature is also found in May in this study. PK04 shows that less O_3 is exported than imported above 9 km in June. By comparison, we find that O_3 export fluxes are similar to the import fluxes in the upper troposphere in May, likely due to higher lightning NO_x production in this study.

![Figure 8](image-url)  
**Figure 8.** Mean O_3 concentration (ppbv) at 150–250 hPa from the MOZAIC measurements during February–May 2000 (left column) and the corresponding REAM results (right column). REAM data are sampled along MOZAIC aircraft tracks. Ozone data >200 ppbv from MOZAIC and REAM are filtered out.
GEOS-3 Stretched Grid Data Assimilation System (SG-DAS) [Fox-Rabinovitz et al., 2002], which may also have deeper mixing depths than MM5 simulations used in REAM, resulting in higher \(O_3\) concentrations near the surface, as in the case of GEOS-CHEM (section 5.2).

North American \(NO_x\) emissions (20–62°N) are \(\sim 1.47 \text{ Gmol d}^{-1}\). About 1.4%, 0.7%, 0.6%, and 0.7% of the emission are exported as \(NO_x\), and 20%, 12%, 12%, and 14% are exported as \(NO_y\) from the boundary layer from February to May, respectively. The export efficiency of \(NO_x\) decreases as photochemical oxidations becomes more active during spring. However, more active convection toward May increases the export efficiency. As a result, the simulated export efficiencies do not change much from March to May. REAM estimated \(NO_x\) export efficiency in May is comparable to that of PK04 (0.6%), but the efficiency for \(NO_y\) is twice as large as that of PK04 (7%), suggesting that there may be a large difference between the two models in reactive nitrogen speciation.

### 8. Conclusions

The spring transitions of \(O_3\), \(NO_x\), and \(CO\) were characterized based on surface, ozonesonde, aircraft, and satellite measurements over North America from February to May 2000, when rapid photochemical and dynamical changes occur. These observed seasonal changes provide a good testbed to evaluate REAM simulations. The GEOS-CHEM model is used to provide chemical initial and boundary conditions. For illustrations of the effects of key parameters on model simulations, GEOS-CHEM simulations are also used for targeted comparisons with REAM results. The REAM results are generally in good agreement with observations in the troposphere. An exception is the low bias of simulated \(O_3\) concentrations above 350 hPa because the specified upper boundary condition for \(O_3\) (at 100 hPa) is also biased low. The low bias improves toward the summer and toward lower latitudes as tropospheric photochemical production becomes more dominant.

Fossil fuel \(NO_x\) emission inventory is evaluated with GOME measurements. Both REAM and GEOS-CHEM products are used in order to test the model dependence of the top-down emission estimates. The monthly top-down estimates differ between the two models for two reasons. First, the different \(NO_2\) profiles lead to 0–12% difference in monthly air mass factors. Second, model differences lead to a different relationship between surface emissions and column \(NO_2\). The resulting monthly top-down emission...
The difference between the two models is 3–20%, in the range of the standard deviation of monthly emission estimates (16–19%) for each model. These differences are averaged out during the 4-month period, leading to close agreement between a priori and top-down emission estimates.

Measurements of tropospheric NO$_x$ and O$_3$ show clear seasonal changes and these changes are captured by REAM simulations. Over the continent, surface NO$_x$ and tropospheric column NO$_2$ decrease despite increasing lightning and soil emissions. Loss by photochemical oxidation of NO$_x$ is larger than the source increase. In contrast, tropospheric column NO$_2$ increases over the western North Atlantic. The increasing trend appears to be due to lightning NO emissions based on REAM results. Monthly mean lightning enhancements are $0.5–2 \times 10^{15}$ and $0.5–1.0 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ over the continent and western Atlantic, respectively. Some NO$_x$ enhancements in May due to lightning and soil emissions are larger than GOME retrieval uncertainties, suggesting that satellite measurements may be used to constrain these emissions.

Surface O$_3$ over North America increases during spring as photochemistry activates. REAM performs well in simulating the multiday variations and seasonal transition. In comparison, the rate of surface O$_3$ increase over the eastern United States in GEOS-CHEM is larger than in REAM (or AIRNow surface observations). A key factor driving the model difference is daytime mixing depth, which is much lower in REAM (simulated by MM5) than...
is in GEOS-CHEM (simulated by GEOS-3). With limited supplies of radicals in the springtime, a larger daytime mixing depth in GEOS-CHEM results in faster photochemical activation because radical loss by the reaction of OH and NO₂ is less.

[50] In the free troposphere (400–800 hPa), ozone and NOx measurements show an increase of ~10 ppbv from February to May. This increase is well simulated by REAM. Tropospheric O₃ columns derived from TOMS-SAGE II indicate significant increase over the western North Atlantic. Qualitative agreement is found in REAM results, although the simulated magnitudes are lower. Lightning NO₃ production is found to be the main contributor to the increase of column O₃ over the western North Atlantic.

[51] REAM model applications are applied to investigate the pollutant imports and exports during spring. Lightning NO₃ production is a major contributor to the seasonal increase in the exports of NOx and NOy from North America in the upper troposphere. Simulated NOx export fluxes at 12 km increase by more than a factor of 2 from February to May (1.8 to 4 x 10⁷ moles d⁻¹). In May, lightning production enhances NOx and NOy exports in the upper troposphere (8–12 km) by 252% and 66%, respectively. In the boundary layer, the import fluxes of O₃ and CO consistently decrease, reflecting a decrease of long-range transport from spring to summer. The export fluxes of O₃, CO, and NOx from the boundary layer do not exhibit large changes from March to May. The export efficiencies of NOx and NOy from the boundary layer are 0.6–0.7% and 12–14%, respectively.

Appendix A

[52] The MOPITT instrument on board the NASA Terra satellite is capable of globally CO monitoring through observations in the thermal band around 4.6 μm. The satellite passes over the equator at around 1045 and 2245 local time, and the horizontal resolution of MOPITT is 22 x 22 km². During March 2000, the first month when MOPITT data were collected, large amounts of data are missing due to calibrations. We compared REAM results to MOPITT for April and May 2000 (not shown). After processing the model results with the MOPITT averaging kernel [Deeter et al., 2003] and considering only the measurements with a priori portion <60%, we found that the correlation coefficients between simulated and MOPITT monthly mean CO columns are ~0.9 and that the monthly mean bias is ~1%.
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