

## A STELLAR COMPANION IN THE HD 189733 SYSTEM WITH A KNOWN TRANSITING EXTRASOLAR PLANET

GÁSPÁR Á. BAKOS,<sup>1,2</sup> ANDRÁS PÁL,<sup>3</sup> DAVID W. LATHAM,<sup>1</sup> ROBERT W. NOYES,<sup>1</sup> AND ROBERT P. STEFANIK<sup>1</sup>

Received 2006 January 10; accepted 2006 February 24; published 2006 March 22

### ABSTRACT

We show that the very close-by (19 pc) K0 star HD 189733, already found to be orbited by a transiting giant planet, is the primary of a double star system, with the secondary being a mid-M dwarf with projected separation of about 216 AU from the primary. This conclusion is based on astrometry, proper-motion and radial velocity measurements, spectral type determination, and photometry. We also detect differential proper motion of the secondary. The data appear consistent with the secondary's orbiting the primary in a clockwise orbit, lying nearly in the plane of the sky (i.e., nearly perpendicular to the orbital plane of the transiting planet), and with period of about 3200 years.

*Subject headings:* binaries: close — planetary systems — stars: individual (HD 189733, HD 189733B) — stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Of the  $\sim 170$  exoplanets in 146 planetary systems known at the present time,<sup>4</sup> the majority ( $\sim 160$ ) are revealed only by the reflex velocity of their parent stars (e.g., Mayor & Queloz 1995; Marcy & Butler 1996), yielding their periods, eccentricities, semimajor axes, and  $m \sin i$  values. However, a small number (nine) of the known exoplanets transit their host stars, so that the inclination ambiguity is removed and their actual mass and radius, and hence their mean density, may be determined.

A number of the known exoplanets have been found to occur in multiple stellar systems. The small sample of 22 such systems form an important class of objects (for references, see Eggenberger et al. 2004, 2006; Mugrauer et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005). Until now, however, no planet found in a multiple stellar system also transits its parent star. In this Letter, we note that the parent star of the recently discovered transiting extrasolar planet HD 189733b (Bouchy et al. 2005, hereafter B05) is itself a member of a double star system.

In § 2, we present the evidence that the star HD 189733 has a physical companion star. Specifically, § 2.1 shows that it has a common proper motion companion, § 2.2 shows that their radial velocities are the same within uncertainties, and § 2.3 shows that the companion is a red dwarf star that must lie at approximately the same distance as HD 189733. For these combined reasons, the comoving companion, now labeled HD 189733B, almost certainly must be a true physical companion. In § 3, we detect a differential proper motion and obtain an initial estimate of the orbital motion of HD 189733B about HD 189733. Finally, § 4 discusses some implications of this finding and avenues for future work.

### 2. EVIDENCE THAT HD 189733 HAS A PHYSICAL COMPANION STAR

HD 189733 is a nearby ( $D = 19.3 \pm 0.3$  pc) K0 dwarf, with mass of  $0.82 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}$  and other properties as described by B05. The Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) Point Source Catalog (PSC; Cutri et al. 2003) lists a nearby red star, 2MASS

<sup>1</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138; [gbakos@cfa.harvard.edu](mailto:gbakos@cfa.harvard.edu).

<sup>2</sup> Hubble Fellow.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Astronomy, Eötvös Loránd University, Pf. 32., H-1518 Budapest, Hungary; and Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics.

<sup>4</sup> See <http://vo.ospm.fr/exoplanetes/encyclo/index.php>.

J20004297+2242342 ( $J = 10.12 \pm 0.04$ ,  $H = 9.55 \pm 0.08$ ,  $K_s = 9.32 \pm 0.03$ ,  $J-K_s = 0.8$ ), some 3.7 mag fainter in  $K_s$ . This star has an angular separation of  $11.^{\circ}2$  from HD 189733, lying  $10.^{\circ}2$  west and  $4.^{\circ}6$  to the south.

HD 189733 is not listed in the Washington Double Star Catalog (Mason et al. 2001), but here we will show that 2MASS J20004297+2242342 is in fact its physical companion; in anticipation of that result, we henceforth denote the companion as HD 189733B. Note that the latter name is distinct from HD 189733b, the name given by B05 to the planetary companion to HD 189733. Our conclusion that the two stars form a bound binary system is based on the following evidence.

#### 2.1. Common Proper Motion

HD 189733 has a relatively high proper motion of  $\mu_{\alpha} = -2.49 \pm 0.68$  mas yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $\mu_{\delta} = -250.81 \pm 0.53$  mas yr<sup>-1</sup> (*Hipparcos*; Perryman et al. 1997). To determine whether HD 189733 and HD 189733B share common proper motion, and hence may be members of the same physical system, we have inspected the following archival material: (1) the digitized Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (POSS) scans<sup>5</sup> (POSS I, 1951 *R* band; POSS II, 1990 *R* band, 1992 *B* band, and 1996 *I* band); (2) the Palomar Quick-V survey (1982, *R* band); and (3) the 2MASS<sup>6</sup> 2000 *J*-, *H*-, and *K*-band scans (Skrutskie et al. 2000). In addition, in 2005 November we used the TopHAT telescope of the HAT Network at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (FLWO) to obtain *I*-band images. We also acquired eight short-exposure *I*-band frames in 2005 December with KeplerCam on the 1.2 m telescope at FLWO.

We used our homegrown FIHAT software environment (A. Pál et al. 2006, in preparation) to find sources on all the above images ( $\sim 50$  isolated, nonsaturated stars), cross-match them by rejecting outliers, determine the astrometric mapping between the images, and transform them to the same reference system. Visual inspection of the registered frames shows (1) the prominent southward proper motion of HD 189733 and (2) a much fainter comoving companion that we identify as HD 189733B (for details, see Fig. 1). The companion is clearly separated from HD 189733 on the 2MASS *J*, *H*, and *K* scans (Fig. 1, *right*) and is listed in the 2MASS PSC as 2MASS J20004297+2242342. Although not illustrated in Figure 1, the

<sup>5</sup> See [http://archive.stsci.edu/cgi-bin/dss\\_form](http://archive.stsci.edu/cgi-bin/dss_form).

<sup>6</sup> See <http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu>.




FIG. 1.—HD 189733 at four different epochs on registered frames. The known proper motion to the south is well visible. The upper dashed line shows the declination in 1951, and the lower dashed line that for 1999. The companion HD 189733B is not visible on the POSS I frame (*left*), because HD 189733 is oversaturated and the scan resolution is not adequate. HD 189733B (indicated by “B”) is visible in the rest of the panels, displaced to the southwest by  $\sim 11''$  from HD 189733; it is seen increasingly better from left to right, as the companion is relatively brighter at increasingly longer wavelengths (*R*, *I*, and *K* bands). “X” denotes a faint star visible on POSS I and the Quick-V scans, before the southward-moving HD 189733B merges with it. “D” and “E” mark two faint stars that are visible on all of the frames, but one of the artificial filter glints (“G”) merges with their position on the 2MASS frame.

co-movement is also demonstrated by the TopHAT and FLWO 1.2 m *I*-band frames. No other comoving companion is detected on these frames.

To quantify the proper motion of HD 189733B, we have carried out astrometry on the Quick-V, POSS II, 2MASS, TopHAT, and FLWO 1.2 m observations. We used the 2MASS PSC as astrometric reference, where the quoted position uncertainty of bright, isolated sources is 120 mas. We used the pixel centers of  $\sim 50$  unsaturated stars that we found by fitting Gaussian profiles. By running our FIHAT/FISTAR star-finder algorithm on artificially generated frames, we found typical errors of the centroid positions to be on the order of 0.05 pixels (corresponding to  $0''.08$  on Quick-V,  $0''.05$  on POSS II and 2MASS,  $0''.1$  on TopHAT, and  $0''.07$  on the FLWO 1.2 m). We then derived the second-order astrometric mappings between the (*X*, *Y*)-coordinates and the 2MASS astrometric reference [HD 189733(B) were omitted from the fit], and used this to transform the pixel coordinates to the International Celestial

Reference System ( $\alpha, \delta$ ; Seidelmann & Kovalevsky 2002), used by 2MASS. The rms around the fit was  $\sim 0''.2$  for Quick-V,  $\sim 0''.18$  for POSS II,  $\sim 0''.05$  for 2MASS,  $\sim 0''.3$  for TopHAT, and  $0''.1$  for the FLWO 1.2 m, respectively.

The derived proper motion of HD 189733B is  $\mu_{\alpha, B} = -4.1 \pm 9$  mas yr $^{-1}$ ,  $\mu_{\delta, B} = -264 \pm 12$  mas yr $^{-1}$  (see Fig. 2), which is within  $2\sigma$  of the *Hipparcos* proper motion of HD 189733 itself. Therefore, it is clear that, within the uncertainties, the two stars share a common proper motion and so are likely to constitute a bound system.

## 2.2. Radial Velocity

A common radial velocity is a further indication that two stars are physically associated. In order to test this possibility, we obtained spectroscopic observations of both the primary star and the suspected companion, using the Center for Astrophysics (CfA) Digital Speedometer (DS; Latham 1992) at the 1.5 m Tillinghast Telescope of FLWO, Arizona.

Seven DS observations have been made of the star HD 189733 dating back to 1995, with the two most recent being 2005 December 10 and 17. For each of these, a radial velocity was obtained on the CfA native system velocity reference (Stefanik et al. 1999). The mean and standard deviation of these measurements are  $V_{\text{rad}} = -2.38 \pm 0.20$  km s $^{-1}$ , with no significant evidence for a long-term velocity variation over the past 10 years. For HD 189733B two DS observations were made, on 2005 December 10 and 17, yielding a mean radial velocity  $V_{\text{rad}, B} = -3.1 \pm 1.0$  km s $^{-1}$ . Thus, within observational uncertainties the difference in measured velocities is consistent with the two stars’ being physical companions.

## 2.3. Characteristics of the Star HD 189733B

There is still a remote chance that the apparent companion could be a distant giant star with high tangential velocity or a very close-by low-luminosity and low-velocity subdwarf that happens to have the same sky position, radial velocity, and proper motion.

While the DS has the primary goal of radial velocity measurements, correlation of the spectrum with template spectra based on the Kurucz (1993) models also yields information on  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\log g$ , and stellar rotation  $v \sin i$ . We obtained  $\log g = 4.5 \pm 0.3$ —a value appropriate to a main-sequence M dwarf. Correlation with observed spectra of M dwarfs with spectral type ranging from M0.0 to M5.5 gives a best correlation near




FIG. 2.—Proper motion of HD 189733B in right ascension (*left*) and declination (*right*) relative to the 2MASS 1999 position. The two panels are on the same scale. The central solid lines show the linear fit to the data; the two other solid lines show the same fits using slope and intersection parameters differing by  $\pm 1\sigma$ . For reference, the dashed line shows the relative proper motion of HD 189733 from *Hipparcos*, offset by  $1''.0$  for clarity.




FIG. 3.—Location of HD 189733B on the Baraffe et al. (1998) 5 Gyr isochrone with other M dwarf binary companions of stars with known planets, plotted from Eggenberger et al. (2004). Stellar masses are labeled along the isochrone in units of  $M_{\odot}$ .

M3.5. We also observed HD 189733B with the FAST spectrograph on the FLWO 1.5 m telescope. Visual comparison of the resulting spectra with known M dwarf spectra suggests a spectral type of M4 V. Based on its spectral type, plus apparent magnitude, we then infer a distance to HD 189733B consistent with the 19.3 pc distance to HD 189733.

We independently estimated the spectral type of HD 189733B from 2MASS photometry. Because of the slightly overlapping profile of the nearby, bright HD 189733, we performed aperture photometry of HD 189733B on the 1999 2MASS scans after subtracting the Gaussian profile of HD 189733, and using  $\sim 50$  isolated stars with original 2MASS photometry as reference. This analysis yields  $J = 10.147 \pm 0.02$ ,  $H = 9.551 \pm 0.03$ , and  $K_s = 9.318 \pm 0.02$ . These values are within 0.03 mag of the 2MASS PSC values, but we find smaller errors and a slightly redder  $J-K_s$  color index. We transformed our measured  $J-K_s$  value (namely,  $0.829 \pm 0.03$ ), to  $J-K$  on the Bessel-Brett system following Carpenter (2001), to obtain  $(J-K)_{BB} = 0.864 \pm 0.04$ . Then we derived the absolute magnitude  $M_H$  ( $8.13 \pm 0.045$ ) from our measured  $H$  magnitude and an assumed distance equal to that of HD 189733 and plotted these values on the color-magnitude diagram of Mugrauer et al. (2005), which also plots a 5 Gyr isochrone from Baraffe et al. (1998). The position on the color-magnitude diagram (Fig. 3) corresponds to a stellar mass of  $0.175\text{--}0.2 M_{\odot}$ , which, according to Cox (2000), corresponds to an M dwarf with spectral type of about M5. The good fit to the isochrone supports our assumption that the distance to HD 189733B is similar to that to HD 189733.

From the above analyses we conclude that HD 189733B is an M dwarf with spectral type in the range M3.5 to M5, with proper motion, radial velocity, and distance in common with HD 189733. The relative positions (from astrometry, and assuming equidistance) and relative velocities of the two stars (from proper-motion and radial velocity data), along with the  $1 M_{\odot}$  total system mass, are consistent within  $2\sigma$  with the system's being gravitationally bound. Although it would for-




FIG. 4.—Differential proper motion of HD 189733B relative to HD 189733. Open squares and circles indicate individual 2MASS (epoch 2000.073) and FLWO 1.2 m (epoch 2005.970) data points, respectively. The filled square and circle represent the mean of those respective data points, with error bars showing 1 standard deviation of those means. The dashed line depicts a circular orbital path about HD 189733 in the plane of the sky, passing through the 2MASS position. The triangle depicts the position at epoch 2005.973 for such an orbit.

mally be possible for an interloper M dwarf star to be passing very close to HD 189733 at the current epoch, with a relative speed so small as to make it almost gravitationally bound, this possibility is so remote that we conclude that the two stars do indeed form a bound system with projected separation about 216 AU.

### 3. ORBITAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SECONDARY

If the true separation of HD 189733 and HD 189733B is close to the projected separation, and the orbit is circular, and the total system mass is  $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$  ( $0.82 M_{\odot}$  for HD 189733, from B05, and  $0.2 M_{\odot}$  for HD 189733B, from § 2.3), then the orbital period is  $\sim 3200$  yr, corresponding to  $\sim 2$  km s $^{-1}$  orbital motion. For a face-on orbit, this would yield an observable 22 mas yr $^{-1}$  differential proper motion in addition to the co-movement.

In an attempt to detect this, we used two pairs of 2MASS images in the  $H$  and  $K$  bands obtained in 1999 and 2000 (one of these is the rightmost image in Fig. 1), with mean epoch 2000.073, and compared them with the eight high-resolution  $I$ -band frames of the field taken with the FLWO 1.2 m telescope at epoch 2005.970. We note that although the other data were useful in confirmation of the common proper motion (as shown in § 2.1), because of the saturated HD 189733 image (POSS, Quick-V) and low signal-to-noise ratio (TopHAT), they were not used in this precise astrometry. The astrometry of HD 189733 over the 5.897 yr baseline yields a proper motion of  $\mu_{\alpha} = -8 \pm 5$  mas yr $^{-1}$  and  $\mu_{\delta} = -245 \pm 8$  mas yr $^{-1}$ . Because this agrees with the more precise *Hipparcos* value within the uncertainties (§ 2.1), we adopt that value for the proper motion of HD 189733. For HD 189733B we find  $\mu_{\alpha,B} = -3 \pm 5$  mas yr $^{-1}$  and  $\mu_{\delta,B} = -272 \pm 5$  mas yr $^{-1}$ , which imply a differential proper motion relative to HD 189733 of  $\Delta\mu_{\alpha} = -1 \pm 5$  mas yr $^{-1}$  and  $\Delta\mu_{\delta} = -21.2 \pm 5$  mas yr $^{-1}$  (Fig. 4).

Formally, the data indicate a detection of relative proper motion at the  $4\sigma$  level. The position of the companion, and its direction and magnitude of relative motion, are consistent with orbital motion in a clockwise orbit roughly in the plane of the sky.

However, there could be underlying systematic effects due to the different instruments and bandpasses used for the earlier epoch 2MASS data and the later epoch FLWO 1.2 m data. We estimated one of these, namely, the effect of stellar profile merging of HD 189733B with HD 189733, which is different on the 2MASS and FLWO 1.2 m frames. By subtracting off the Gaussian profile of the primary, we found that the derived proper motion of HD 189733B changes by only  $2 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ . Nevertheless, while the detection of orbital motion roughly in the plane of the sky seems secure, it is premature to derive specific orbital parameters for the system.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Several studies have been done on the characteristics of close-in planets orbiting the primary star of a multiple star system. Thus, Eggenberger et al. (2004 and references therein) found a tendency for massive planets ( $m \sin i > 2M_J$ ) to occur preferentially in multiple star systems. However, HD 189733b is a low-mass planet ( $1.15M_J$  without  $\sin i$  ambiguity), and yet it is in a multiple stellar system, thus weakening the distinction between single and multiple stars.

HD 189733b exceeds all other known extrasolar planets in binary systems in its proximity to its parent star ( $a_{\text{pl}} = 0.031 \text{ AU}$ ). However, a number of planets in multiple star systems are nearly as close (e.g.  $\tau$  Boo b,  $a_{\text{pl}} = 0.05 \text{ AU}$ ; HD 75289b,  $a_{\text{pl}} = 0.046 \text{ AU}$ ).

The data suggest (see § 3) that the binary orbit is likely to be nearly face-on, that is, the orbital plane would be nearly orthogonal to the orbital plane of the planet HD 189733b, which by virtue of its transit we know to have an inclination of nearly  $90^\circ$ . A detailed calculation based on the relative velocities and

positions of the two stars shows that the orbit of HD 189733B and HD 189733b cannot be coplanar, at the  $4\sigma$  level (A. Pál et al. 2006, in preparation). When additional transiting planets are discovered in multiple star systems, it should be possible to study the relation of the system architecture (e.g. mass, semi-major axis of the stellar secondary) to planet properties in better detail.

Because the HD 189733 system is so close-by, it should be possible to obtain excellent astrometry over the next few years with modern high-precision astrometric techniques from ground or space. The changing radial velocity signal of either or both of HD 189733 and HD 189733B might also be detectable after a few years of monitoring with current high-precision radial velocity devices. These should lead to a good characterization of the orbit of the binary system and its relation to the orbit of the transiting planet. Finally, we note that because this system is only 19 pc from Earth, and hence both stellar components are unusually bright for their spectral types, many additional follow-up observations requiring high-resolution spectroscopy or high-precision photometry will be feasible. This should permit a full characterization of the system including the possible detection of additional low-mass components.

This work was funded by NASA grant NNG04GN74G. Work by G. Á. B. was supported by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF-01170.01-A. A. P. wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of the CfA, where part of this work was carried out. The work of A. P. was also supported by Hungarian OTKA grant T-038437. D. W. L. and R. P. S. thank the *Kepler* mission for support through NASA cooperative agreement NCC 2-1390. We acknowledge the use of the 2MASS survey frames and the POSS scans. We thank Perry Berlind at FLWO for taking the FAST spectra of HD 189733B, and Emilio Falco for the follow-up exposures using the 1.2 m telescope.

#### REFERENCES

- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 1998, A&A, 337, 403
- Bouchy, F., et al. 2005, A&A, 444, L15 (B05)
- Carpenter, J. M. 2001, AJ, 121, 2851
- Cox, A. N., ed. 2000, Allen's Astrophysical Quantities (4th ed.; New York: Springer)
- Cutri, R. M., et al. 2003, The 2MASS All-Sky Catalog of Point Sources (Pasadena: Caltech)
- Eggenberger, A., Udry, S., & Mayor, M. 2004, A&A, 417, 353
- Eggenberger, A., Mayor, M., Naef, D., Pepe, F., Queloz, D., Santos, N. C., Udry, S., & Lovis, C. 2006, A&A, 447, 1159
- Kurucz, R. 1993, CD-ROM 13, ATLAS9 Stellar Atmosphere Programs and 2 km/s Grid (Cambridge: SAO)
- Latham, D. W. 1992, in IAU Colloq. 135, Complementary Approaches to Double and Multiple Star Research, ed. H. A. McAlister & W. I. Hartkopf (ASP Conf. Ser. 32) (Chelsea, MI: ASP), 110
- Marcy, G. W., & Butler, R. P. 1996, ApJ, 464, L147
- Mason, B. D., Wycoff, G. L., Hartkopf, W. I., Douglass, G. G., & Worley, C. E. 2001, AJ, 122, 3466
- Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1995, Nature, 378, 355
- Mugrauer, M., Neuhauser, R., Mazeh, T., Alves, J., & Guenther, E. 2004a, A&A, 425, 249
- Mugrauer, M., Neuhauser, R., Mazeh, T., Guenther, E., & Fernández, M. 2004b, Astron. Nachr., 325, 718
- Mugrauer, M., Neuhauser, R., Seifahrt, A., Mazeh, T., & Guenther, E. 2005, A&A, 440, 1051
- Perryman, M. A. C., et al. 1997, A&A, 323, L49
- Seidelmann, P. K., & Kovalevsky, J. 2002, A&A, 392, 341
- Skrutskie, M. F., et al. 2000, 2MASS Catalog Intermediate Data Release (Pasadena: Caltech)
- Stefanik, R. P., Latham, D. W., & Torres, G. 1999, in IAU Colloq. 170, Precise Stellar Radial Velocities, ed. J. B. Hearnshaw & C. D. Scarfe (ASP Conf. Ser. 185) (San Francisco: ASP), 354