North polar frontal clouds and dust storms on Mars during spring and summer

Huiqun Wang a,*, Jenny A. Fisher b

a Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
b School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, 29 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 28 October 2008
Revised 16 April 2009
Accepted 30 May 2009
Available online 13 June 2009

Keywords:
Mars

A B S T R A C T

The complete archive of Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC) Mars Daily Global Maps (MDGM) are used to study north polar clouds and dust storms that exhibit frontal structures during the spring and summer (Ls 0–180°). Results show that frontal events generally follow the edge of the polar cap during spring and mid/late summer with a gap in the distribution in early summer. The exact duration and timing of the gap vary from year to year. Ten to twenty percent of spring and summer time frontal events exhibit complex morphologies. Distinct temperature signatures are associated with features observed in images in many but not all cases. The general travel paths of the frontal events are eastward around the polar cap. Westward paths exist only at the edge of the polar cap in late spring/early summer. Occasionally, the paths curve toward or away from the polar cap in certain longitude sectors.

1. Introduction

Clouds and dust storms are important components of the martian climate system and are closely related to the martian atmospheric circulation. The Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) has greatly advanced our knowledge of these features through systematic daily global observations (Albee, 2002). MGS was in a 2AM–2PM Sun synchronous orbit. MGS Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC) (Malin et al., 1992) took a red–blue pair of limb-to-limb global map swaths at 7.5 or 3.75 km/pixel resolution on each orbit during March 1999–October 2006. Mars Daily Global Maps (MDGM) composed of 13 consecutive pairs of global map swaths each (Wang and Ingersoll, 2002; Cantor, 2007) provide an invaluable dataset for studying clouds and dust storms. In addition, MGS Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) (Christensen et al., 1992) made concurrent observations of atmospheric temperatures, dust/ice opacities, and water vapor abundances before August 2004. The general spatial and temporal distributions of clouds and dust storms have been examined using MOC or TES data (e.g., Cantor et al., 2001; Cantor, 2007; Pearl et al., 2001; Wang and Ingersoll, 2002; Smith, 2004, 2008; Tampari et al., 2008), providing important constraints for atmospheric models (e.g., Richardson et al., 2002; Toigo et al., 2002; Hinson and Wilson, 2004; Basu et al., 2004, 2006; Rodin and Wilson, 2006). A few studies have focused on special cloud/dust storm types, such as orographic clouds and spiral dust storms over major volcanoes (Rafkin et al., 2002; Benson et al., 2006), and dust storms whose morphologies are analogous to fronts in terrestrial cyclones (called “frontal dust storms”) (James et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2003, 2005; Wang, 2007).

Previous studies of frontal dust storms concentrated on northern fall and winter (Ls 180–360°, solar longitude Ls) and lack the expression of a warm front, and very few cases have attached cyclonic spirals (Wang et al., 2003, 2005). This is possibly due to the following
The spring and summer frontal clouds and dust storms observed in MDGM range from a few hundred to a few thousand kilometers and exhibit a wide variety of morphologies. Martian dust storms are conventionally classified as “local” (long axis <2000 km; area <1.6 × 10^6 km² or duration <3 sols), “regional” (long axis >2000 km; area >1.6 × 10^6 km² and duration >3 sols) or “planet-encircling”/“global” dust storms (Zurek and Martin, 1993; Cantor, 2007). Local and regional storms are relevant to this study. In dynamical meteorology, atmospheric circulations are usually classified as “local” (kilometer), “meso” (tens to hundreds of kilometers), “synoptic” (hundreds to thousands of kilometers), or “planetary” (tens of thousands of kilometers) scale circulations (Holton, 1992). The terminologies for dust storms and circulations are related. Local dust storms and clouds are presumably influenced by local and mesoscale circulations such as convection and orographic flows, while regional dust storms and clouds are probably related to synoptic cyclogenesis and planetary waves. Due to the small radius of Mars, the planetary and synoptic scales are not well separated—the wavelengths of the largest planetary waves (with zonal wave number m <4) approach synoptic scale, which is comparable to the size of a regional dust storm. Consequently, planetary circulation can substantially influence the development of a regional storm and vice versa.

Fig. 1 shows examples of the most commonly observed types of frontal events in spring and summer. In addition to simple curvilinear-front events (Fig. 1a), there are cases with substantial curvatures (arc, Fig. 1b and c), wavy fronts (Fig. 1d and e), comas (Figs. 1j–l and 5), λ shapes (Fig. 1m and n), tightly wrapped spirals (Fig. 1h), and spirals with 2–3 arms (Figs. 1f, l, n, and o and 6). These images represent different developmental histories of frontal events and indicate the role of vorticity in shaping clouds and dust storms. Terrestrial comma-shaped clouds are usually associated with synoptic scale (thousands of kilometers) cyclones in midlatitudes or mesoscale (hundreds of kilometers) lows in polar regions (Houze, 1994). Martian comas also range from hundreds to thousands of kilometers in length, but are usually made visible by dust (sometimes in combination with cloud). In addition to the usual north–south orientation (Fig. 1j), martian comas sometimes appear upside-down (Fig. 5) or sideways (Figs. 1k and l) in projected images, but they all show cyclonic rotation. The comma in Fig. 5 developed into the tightly wrapped spiral in Fig. 1h within one sol. Another way to form a spiral is indicated in panels d–f of Fig. 1. Although these are unrelated events, the images suggest that developing waves along a front can create a spiral with two arms. Alternatively, a spiral can develop at the end of a wavy front instead of at the center (Fig. 1g). Separate fronts can rotate around a common center to form a multi-armed spiral (Figs. 6 and 1i). Fig. 1m shows a complex frontal system with two dust arms in a Λ configuration. Fig. 1o shows two dust arms (the eastern one was covered by cloud) connected by a spiral to the north, analogous to typical terrestrial systems (cold, warm and occluded front) in midlatitude or the large-scale (thousands of kilometers) scale cyclones. In the absence of polar night, consecutive MOC images have large overlap at high latitudes. As a result, the two-hourly developments of some relatively large clouds/dust storms are recorded. Prominent traveling and stationary waves in early spring and late summer can affect the solar energy budget and the transport of dust and water vapor (Banfield et al., 2003, 2004; Hinson, 2006). Frontal events provide a link between observables and underlying atmospheric dynamics. GCM and mesoscale simulations show circulation patterns consistent with the shapes and motions of clouds observed in images (e.g., Richardson et al., 2002; Newman et al., 2002b; Rafkin et al., 2002; Toigo et al., 2002; Montmessin et al., 2004; Tyler and Barnes, 2005; Wilson et al., 2006; Basu et al., 2006; Kauhanen et al., 2008). Our study will add to the observations with which models can be compared for explanation, validation and improvement.

2. Data analysis approach

2.1. Morphology

The spring and summer frontal clouds and dust storms observed in MDGM range from a few hundred to a few thousand kilometers and exhibit a wide variety of morphologies. Martian dust storms are conventionally classified as “local” (long axis <2000 km; area <1.6 × 10^6 km² or duration <3 sols), “regional” (long axis >2000 km; area >1.6 × 10^6 km² and duration >3 sols) or “planet-encircling”/“global” dust storms (Zurek and Martin, 1993; Cantor, 2007). Only local and regional storms are relevant to this study. In dynamical meteorology, atmospheric circulations are usually classified as “local” (kilometer), “meso” (tens to hundreds of kilometers), “synoptic” (hundreds to thousands of kilometers), or “planetary” (tens of thousands of kilometers) scale circulations (Holton, 1992). The terminologies for dust storms and circulations are related. Local dust storms and clouds are presumably influenced by local and mesoscale circulations such as convection and orographic flows, while regional dust storms and clouds are probably related to synoptic cyclogenesis and planetary waves. Due to the small radius of Mars, the planetary and synoptic scales are not well separated—the wavelengths of the largest planetary waves (with zonal wave number m <4) approach synoptic scale, which is comparable to the size of a regional dust storm. Consequently, planetary circulation can substantially influence the development of a regional storm and vice versa.
Using TES data, Tamppari et al. (2008) found high dust and water ice optical depths before $L_s$ 75° and after $L_s$ 165°. According to MDGM, a substantial fraction of the opacity should have come from amorphous cloud / dust haze in the polar hood. Although the polar hood as a single entity is not counted, dust storms and clouds embedded in the polar hood are included as long as they exhibit front-like structure.

TES opacity retrievals show low ice optical depths and high dust optical depths at northern high latitudes (60–90° N, especially in the 0–90°W quadrant) in late spring and early summer (Tamppari et al., 2008). Individual MGS global map swaths are able to show both the 2PM and 2AM sides of the polar cap near the summer solstice (this is not possible in other time periods due to illumination limitations). The 2PM side appears clear but the 2AM side appears dusty and cloudy. Since pixels on the 2AM side are assigned low weight, they are concealed in MDGM (Wang and Ingersoll, 2002). MDGM shows substantial dust activity in the north polar region in late spring ($L_s$ 60–90°), consistent with TES opacity observations.
MDGM shows little dust (or ice) in early summer ($L_s$ 90–120°), but TES (daytime) dust opacities remain high until $L_s$ 110° in both Mars Years 25 and 26 (Tamppari et al., 2008). Some MDGMs during $L_s$ 90–110° appear to be dust storm free, but the corresponding TES tracks show high dust opacities (not shown). The discrepancy between TES and MOC observations is probably due to the presence of translucent dust haze (without clear boundary) that is not apparent in MOC images. Such a dust haze may be supplied by dust devils since dust devils are usually smaller than the resolution of the global map swath and can maintain a background haze through dust lifting in convective vortices (Newman et al., 2002a; Basu et al., 2004; Greeley et al., 2003; Balme and Greeley, 2006). Dust devil track percent coverage during northern spring and summer is highest in the band between 40°N and 65°N and lowest poleward of 65°N (Whelley and Greeley, 2008). This is at odds with the assumption that dust devils provide the haze observed by TES but not MOC. However, dust devils are not always associated with tracks on the surface (Fisher et al., 2005). The possibility is therefore not ruled out. Alternatively, dust advected from the night side or raised by small scale circulation at the edge of the residual cap can also make a dust haze.

Orographic clouds are not accounted for in this study, neither. In the domain of interest (45–90°N), they are mainly located on the northern slopes of Tharsis (from Alba Fossae to Tempe Fossae) and Arabia (Deuteronilus Mensae and Protonilus Mensae) and appear as patches. They occasionally move away from their original locations and adopt front-like shapes during the process. These transformed clouds may be related to topographic winds and are counted in the catalog.

### 2.2. MDGM frontal event catalog

The complete MGS MDGM archive (May 1999–October 2006) (Wang and Ingersoll, 2002) has been used to study north polar (45–90°N, 0.1° × 0.1°) clouds and dust storms that exhibit frontal structures, referred to as “frontal events” in this paper. This data set includes four MGS mapping years of observations and covers the spring and summer seasons of Mars Years 24–28 (Mars year convention follows Clancy et al. (2000)). The first MGS mapping year started in late summer of Mars Year 24.

We have recorded the position of each event in MDGM using a representative point judged by eye. This point is usually in the middle of a simple front/arc or at the center of a comma head/spiral, consistent with previous studies (Wang et al., 2005; Wang, 2007). Area is measured by summing the areas of dusty/cloudy pixels associated with each event in north polar MDGM (45–90°N). As a result, areas for large events near 45°N are lower limits. Composition is judged by color (red for dust, blue for ice) in MDGM. In cases when a judgment based on color alone is ambiguous, we use images from adjacent days to provide additional information. Shape is classified as simple front, arc, comma, spiral, frontal system, and other. Events that last multiple days are linked and tagged with “New” status on the first day and “Old” status on subsequent days. The final catalog contains 2422 frontal events (82% of which are “New”) and is a result of examining the entire spring and summer time MDGM archive three times.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Time–latitude distribution

Fig. 2 shows the time–latitude distribution of different sized frontal events in the north polar region during the springs and summers of Mars Years 24–28 (1999–2006). They generally follow the polar cap edge during the spring and in late summer with a hiatus in between. The overall seasonal evolution is similar among the different years. Cantor et al. (2002) compared MGS MOC images taken between 1997 and 2001. They found that some summertime clouds and dust storms recurred within a few degrees of solar longitude each year. Despite this high degree of repeatability, the timing of the hiatus can shift by up to ~10° $L_s$ from year to year, and the duration of the hiatus can vary from ~15° to ~25° $L_s$ (Year 25: $L_s$ 105–123°; Year 26: $L_s$ 91–115°; Year 27: $L_s$ 95–111°; Year 28: $L_s$ 99–113°).

The composition of frontal events changes with season. Frontal events in early spring and late summer usually involve both dust and ice. On one hand, cross-frontal circulation in growing baroclinic eddy can lift dust and condense ice, generating dust–ice system self consistently (Wang and Ingersoll, 2002). On the other hand, polar hood clouds can be advected by large-scale circulation to partly cover dust storms (Montmessin et al., 2004). The frontal events observed just before the early summer hiatus are predominately dust storms, and those after the hiatus are predominately ice clouds. Translucent dust haze may be present during the hiatus period, especially in the 0–90°W sector (Section 2.1), but frontal events are absent. Interannual variability in the timing and duration of the hiatus suggests corresponding variability in the timing of when surface winds fall below the dust lifting threshold and when in the season atmospheric saturation is attained. In early summer, the exposed residual polar cap supplies a large amount of water vapor to the atmosphere, but clouds (front-like or not) are absent in the polar region, suggesting a large atmospheric water vapor holding capacity due to warm temperatures (Richardson et al., 2002; Smith, 2004, 2008; Tamppari et al., 2008). TES data show no polar jet (due to weak large-scale meridional temperature gradient) and few stationary and traveling planetary waves in the polar region in early summer, which can potentially limit the occurrence of frontal dust storms (Banfield et al., 2003, 2004). Mesoscale simulations suggest that baroclinic transients occur along a summer jet and interact with katabatic flow off the polar cap (Tyler and Barnes, 2005). The summer jet results from the temperature contrast at the edge of the residual polar cap, but the strength of the jet is much weaker and the depth much shallower in summer than in winter (Tyler and Barnes, 2005). Frontal dust storms during the fall and winter also show a hiatus near the winter solstice period (Wang et al., 2003, 2005; Wang, 2007). In this case, TES data show that the polar jet is very strong (Banfield et al., 2003). GCM simulations show that the deep zonal wave $m = 1$ is very strong, but the relatively shallower zonal waves $m ≥ 2$ are suppressed in this period (Kuroda et al., 2007; Basu et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2006). GCM simulations also show that the eddy flux of cloud is negligible near the winter solstice (Montmessin et al., 2004).

The areas of the 2422 observed frontal events range from 740 km² to 1.5 × 10⁶ km², with a median of 6.9 × 10⁵ km². Nine hundred of the events have areas less than 5 × 10⁵ km², 89 have areas greater than 5 × 10⁵ km² and 11 have areas greater than 1 × 10⁶ km². For a curvilinear front, an area of 5 × 10⁵ km² corresponds to a long axis of 2000 km, assuming an aspect ratio of 1:8. The lifetimes of observed frontal events range from a few hours to five sols. These events are therefore local or regional according to conventional dust storm terminology (Zurek and Martin, 1993; Cantor, 2007). Large frontal events are often observed before $L_s$ 50° and after $L_s$ 150°. Atmospheric circulation during these periods is characterized by the presence of a winter-like polar jet and planetary waves that are important for cyclogenesis (Tyler and Barnes, 2005; Wilson et al., 2006). The late summer events appear especially impressive considering that they are larger than the polar cap (e.g., Fig. 1m). Dust storms in late spring that appear just before the hiatus are also relatively large. Although TES data indicate that the late spring polar jet and traveling waves are much...
weaker than those early in the season (Banfield et al., 2004), meso-scale simulations show strong eddy excursion winds associated with zonal wave number \( m = 2 \) and prominent surface frontal structure in this period (Tyler et al., 2008). However, the simulated waves are intermittent and therefore are not necessarily expected to be evident in the TES data analysis of Banfield et al. (2004). Comparing results for different years, we find that frontal events larger than \( 5 \times 10^5 \) km\(^2\) occur least frequently in late summer of Mars Year 24 and spring of Mars Year 25. Although large frontal events appear to be related to planetary waves and cyclogenesis, small ones can originate from different mechanisms despite their frontal appearances. For example, cloud arcs in mid-summer are associated with transient eddies propagating from northern Tharsis toward the polar cap instead of zonally coherent waves (Tyler and Barnes, 2005).

3.2. Longitude–latitude distribution

Fig. 3 shows the spatial distribution of spring and summer frontal events. Data for all years (Mars Years 24–28) are included in the figure. The patterns for different years are consistent. The mean latitude of these events moves closer to the pole from spring to summer, reflecting the retreat of the north polar cap. Frontal events occur at all longitudes around the polar cap, but there is...
an apparent preference for low topography, indicating the presence of storm zones (regions of enhanced eddies). About half of the frontal events are concentrated in the 0–90°W quadrant (especially in the low topography region northeast of Tempe Fossae). Less than 15% are observed in the 0–90°E quadrant (north of Arabia) before Ls 150°. In late summer, features generally have larger size and longer lifetimes. Many can therefore travel long distances with the dominant eastward winds in this season, smearing the longitudinal distributions. For instance, the percentage of events in the 0–45°E sector is only 5–8% before Ls 150° but increases to about 14% during Ls 150–180°. TES data also show a preference for higher dust opacities in the 0–90°W quadrant in late spring (Tamppari et al., 2008).

The cluster of relatively large (>5 × 10⁵ km²) events in the 0–90°W sector is centered on 30°W during Ls 0–45°, 45°W during Ls 45–90° and 15°W during Ls 150–185°. GCM simulations show that the strongest meridional wind variance is centered to the northeast of Tempe Fossae in early spring and late summer and shifts westward to the area north of Alba Patera (~110°W) in mid-spring (Hollingsworth et al., 1997). The direction of the shift in the model is consistent with the results in Fig. 3; however, the sector with the most frontal events is always east of the longitude of Alba Patera in MDGM observations.

TES temperatures at 6.1 hPa for Mars Years 24–25 show that the strongest transient variations are in the 0–60°E sector (north of Arabia) and the 60–120°W sector (north of Tempe Terra and Alba Patera) in early spring and in the 0–120°E sector in late summer (Banfield et al., 2004). These sectors are upstream of the most populated sectors in Fig. 3. Several factors can result in the disagreement: (1) Fig. 3 tends to emphasize the downstream region since a frontal event may have traveled some distance before being captured in an image and a long-lived event is recorded multiple times (once per day) in the downstream region; (2) A well developed spiral such as that shown in Fig. 1m shows very little temperature anomaly since the air has been mixed; (3) Tracers (dust storms/ice clouds) are not always present to highlight the flow; (4) Since 6.1 hPa is about 5 km (roughly half an atmospheric scale height) above the surface in the north polar region, thermal signatures of shallower systems do not contribute to variations at this level. Tyler and Barnes (2005) simulated a jet streak (maximum winds in the jet stream) on the zonal jet north of Alba Patera for Ls 150°. The region north of Acidalia is at the left exit region of this jet
streak where the associated secondary circulation can induce baroclinic storms. This is consistent with the results in Fig. 3. Tyler and Barnes (2005) showed an example where the simulated location of the strongest temperature variability (in the longitude sector between Elysium and Alba Patera) was different from that of the strongest wind variability (northeast of Tempe Fossae), implying that the eddy heat flux pattern was different from the eddy momentum flux pattern. The storm zone position therefore depends on the meteorological fields being considered. For Mars Year 26, in addition to the sectors mentioned above, there is enhanced TES 6.1 hPa temperature variability in the 0–45°W sector (north of Acidalia) where most of the frontal events are observed.

Arc-shaped clouds north of Tharsis (in the 45–135°W sector) first appear after the early summer (i.e. solstitial) hiatus in the frontal event distribution. Tyler and Barnes (2005) suggested that they were related to transient eddies propagating from Tharsis toward the polar cap edge. Their Fig. 9 showed two separate routes – one from Alba Patera and the other from Tempe Fossae – in excellent agreement with MDGM observations. Clouds in the 45–180°E sector occur about a month later than arc clouds north of Tharsis. They are usually transformed from cloud patches to front-like morphology by atmospheric circulation.

3.3. Complex events

Many frontal events in spring and summer show complex morphologies (Section 2.1) indicating complex circulations. The distributions of complex events (other than simple fronts and arcs) are shown as purple circles in Fig. 4. In the temporal distribution (top panel), about a quarter of the observed frontal events are complex for $L_\text{s}$ 30–60° and $L_\text{s}$ 150–180°, and only about 10% for $L_\text{s}$ 0–30° and $L_\text{s}$ 120–150°. In the spatial distribution (bottom panel), about a quarter are complex in the 0–90°W sector, 18% in the 0–45°E sector, and about 10% in other sectors, suggesting topographic effects.

A case study combining MOC and TES data is performed for a complex frontal event at $L_\text{s}$ ~162–163° of Mars Year 24 (Fig. 5). This event was captured in five consecutive MOC images during the first sol and four consecutive images on the second sol. It first appeared as an upside-down comma in MDGM involving both dust and ice and developed into the first regional spiral in late summer of the year (Cantor et al., 2001; Wang and Ingersoll, 2002). Winds derived from cloud tracking (using all available images for this event) show cyclonic rotation and northeastward translation. TES temperature perturbations are derived by subtracting a base temperature, which is defined by a linear fit of the temperatures within 100 TES orbits, 30° longitude, and 1° latitude of the current orbit as a function of time (data are gridded with 1° latitude bins). Temperature perturbations at 6.1 hPa show that the comma arm (75–80°N) is at the boundary between northern cold air and southern warm air (upper left panel). Warm air is being wrapped into the center of the forming spiral and moving northward, consistent with the presence of the cloud band north of the dust arm (upper left panel). Cold air is present behind the comma and moving eastward (upper right panel). The distinct thermal signature decreases with altitude (lower right panel). It is lost by 2.88 mb and flips sign at higher levels. Traveling waves in TES temperatures are derived using the least squares method of Wu et al. (1995) with a sliding window of 16 sols (for 6–8 sol waves), 12 sols (for 4–6 sol waves) or eight sols (for 2–4 sol waves). Eastward traveling waves at 6.1 hPa (70.5–73 N, lower left panel) are usually coherent for more than a week. The dominant wave modes transition from 4-sol Wave 1 before $L_\text{s}$ 158° to 2-sol Wave 3 (and 8-sol Wave 1) during $L_\text{s}$ 160–165° and to 4-sol Wave 2 after $L_\text{s}$ 165°. This is similar to the wave mode transition seen in MGS radio science data (Hinson, 2006; Hinson and Wang, in preparation). The $L_\text{s}$ 162–163° frontal event in Fig. 5 occurred when the amplitude of 2–3 sol Wave 3 maximized along with that of 8-sol Wave 1. A 5-sol Wave 2 mode was also noticeable during this period. After $L_\text{s}$ 165°, MDGM shows apparent Wave 2 manifested by two large eastward traveling frontal events on opposite sides of the polar cap (Wang and Ingersoll, 2002).

A second case study for the event at $L_\text{s}$ 152–154° of Mars Year 26 is shown in Fig. 6. This event was among the first regional spi-

![Fig. 4.](image-url) (Top) $L_\text{s}$–latitude and (bottom) longitude–latitude distribution of simple (black, including simple fronts and arcs) and complex (purple, including all the other morphologies) frontal events. Each panel includes data for northern springs and summers of Mars Years 24–28. Symbols are plotted as described in Figs. 2 and 3. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
erals in late summer of the year and lasted for four sols. Cloud-tracked winds indicate cyclonic rotation and southeastward translation. Temperature perturbations at 6.1 hPa show that the northern arm of the spiral was located on the cold–warm air boundary and the eye of the spiral was characterized by a warm temperature anomaly (upper left panel). This distinct temperature signature again decreases with altitude and is confined below 2.88 mb (lower right panel). Temperature anomalies at higher levels indicate warm air above the spiral. In contrast to the event in Fig. 5, the spiral in this case was mainly located in warm air at low levels (upper right panel). The event occurred during an interval when eastward traveling Wave $m = 1$ (7–8 sol) were dominant with secondary Wave $m = 3$ (2–3 sol, lower left panel). Wave mode transitions between 4–5-sol Wave $m = 3$ and 6–8-sol Wave $m = 1$ occurred at $L_s \approx 148^\circ$ and 158$^\circ$ during the period.

While both our examples show a direct correspondence between MOC and TES observations, there are also cases when substantial temperature anomalies are not associated with any dust storms/clouds and cases when frontal events are not associated with any temperature anomalies. Statistics of which frontal event in MOC images possesses a corresponding temperature signature in TES data awaits further study.

### 3.4. Travel trajectories

Fig. 7 summarizes the general travel paths of the observed frontal events during $L_s$ 0–60°, 60–120°, and 120–180° (all years included). The paths are based on impressions of cloud/dust storm motions obtained from animated MDGM instead of tracked winds as used in Wang and Ingersoll (2003). They qualitatively indicate the general wind directions with respect to the polar cap.

During $L_s$ 0–60°, frontal events travel eastward around the polar cap edge, consistent with the dominant wind directions predicted by TES thermal wind balance and numerical models (e.g., James et al., 1999; Banfield et al., 2003). They sometimes travel towards or away from the polar cap in low topography longitude sectors north of Acidalia, Arcadia and Utopia. Model results show these regions to be storm zones with strong transient wind variability (Hollingsworth et al., 1997). Similarly, frontal events during $L_s$ 120–180° also travel eastward around the polar cap. Occasionally, they travel towards the cap in the longitude sector north of Acidalia and Arabia, and away from the cap in the longitude sector north of Utopia. At the beginning of this time period, a few events in the sector north of Acidalia travel westward at the cap edge and turn eastward at lower latitudes. They probably reflect the transition of circulation from summer-like to winter-like conditions.

The $L_s$ 60–120° period is more complicated – there are westward paths at the cap edge and eastward paths at most longitudes further south. Note the travel paths do not reflect near-surface circulation during the early summer hiatus period due to the lack of clouds and dust storms. Mesoscale simulations show both retrograde (westward) and prograde (eastward) storm progression in late spring when the circulation is in transition and sensitive to the polar cap extent and atmospheric dust opacity (Tyler et al., 2008). Fig. 5 shows southward paths in the longitude sector of Acidalia. Many relatively large dust storms in late spring follow this southward path and dissipate within the same longitude sector. Tyler et al. (2008) simulated storms with little zonal motion and...
described complex wavenumber transitions in late spring. Abundant water vapor exists in the atmosphere during this period due to the exposure of the north polar residual cap (Smith, 2002, 2008), and Acidalia may be an important path through which water vapor is transported to lower latitudes.

4. Summary and discussion

The complete archive of MGS MOC Mars Daily Global Maps (Mars Years 24–28, 1999–2006) is used to study north polar (45–90°N) frontal clouds and dust storms during spring and summer (Ls 0–180°), complementing previous studies for fall and winter (Ls 180–360°) (Wang et al., 2003, 2005; Wang, 2007).

The observed frontal events range from local to regional scale in dust storm classification and are influenced by local to planetary scale circulations. They exhibit a wide variety of morphologies suggestive of complex flow patterns. Early afternoon clouds and dust storms are absent in MDGM in early summer, leading to a gap in the seasonal distribution of frontal events. In agreement with our observations, TES temperatures and radio science geopotential heights show much weaker transient eddies in early summer than...
those in the rest of the year (Banfield et al., 2003, 2004; Hinson, 2006). Travel paths of clouds and dust storms indicate dominant westward (easterly) winds at the edge of the polar cap near summer solstice. Numerical models indicate near-surface easterlies in the vicinity of the polar cap for this time period, which inhibit the vertical propagation of planetary waves (Tyler and Barnes, 2005; Basu et al., 2006). The general atmospheric conditions in early spring and late summer are winter-like, with a westerly jet and planetary waves. Frontal events in these periods are probably related to dynamical instability of the zonal flow. Under summer-like conditions with cap edge easterlies, frontal events (as we call them) are expected to be influenced more by local and regional circulation.

TES shows high 2PM dust opacities in early summer (Tamppari et al., 2008) when MOC shows no apparent dust storms (on the early afternoon side). We speculate that this is probably due to the presence of dust devil or small scale circulation sustained haze that is hard to recognize in images. The amount of water vapor maximizes in early summer, but clouds are rare (at least in early afternoon) (Smith, 2002, 2008). The atmosphere attains high water vapor holding capacity due to warm temperatures (relative to the frost point) (Richardson et al., 2002). Weak eddies during this period will likely limit water vapor transport to lower latitudes.

Frontal events are observed at all longitudes, however, low topography areas, especially northeast of Tempe Fossae, are apparently favored. This region is downwind of the strongest storm zones derived from TES temperatures in Mars Year 24 (Banfield et al., 2004), but shows enhanced temperature variations in Mars Year 26. In late summer, frontal events travel eastward with the intensifying polar jet, contributing additional counts to the adjacent 0–45° longitudinal sector. The definition of the most prominent martian storm zones appears to be sensitive to the variables and altitudes chosen. Frontal storms may preferentially form within the regions of strong baroclinicity and continue to develop as they travel downstream.

Case studies show that distinct temperature signatures at lower levels closely correspond to features observed in images. Temperature perturbations at upper levels do not provide as direct a link as those at lower levels, but the upper level circulation may play a role in the development of cyclones and fronts. Each case has its own particular course of development. Collectively, frontal events are important for dust, ice and water vapor exchange between the high and low latitudes. Expressions of frontal events by dust/ice do not always occur concurrently with sharp changes in temperature and other meteorology fields due to lack of visible tracers, shallowness of the system, or mixing of air masses. This can result in disagreement between conclusions drawn from MOC and TES. There are apparent transitions in the dominant wave modes in TES temperatures in early spring and late summer. Transitions at upper levels may involve fewer modes since those with wave numbers higher than two are confined to the lower atmosphere.

About 70% of the frontal events in mid-summer are ice clouds north of Tharsis and Utopia. Those north of Tharsis (specifically Alba Patera and Tempe Fossae) are characterized by arc shapes. Some arcs can develop spiral features. Model results suggest that arc-shaped clouds are related to transient eddies propagating from Alba Patera and Tempe Fossae toward the north polar cap (Tyler and Barnes, 2005). Clouds north of Utopia usually appear first as patches (with fluffy structure suggestive of shallow convection). They are sometimes transformed by the ambient flow to adopt a front-like morphology.

Long-term systematic daily global observations are crucial for studying martian weather and climate. Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) has continued daily global mapping of Mars after MGS. Similar analyses with MRO data are needed to better understand the climatology and variability of martian clouds and dust storms and to improve our understanding of martian atmospheric circulation through modeling. Results presented in this paper can be used to evaluate model performance in terms of the composition, sizes, timing, locations and paths of frontal events. Models can in turn help explain the underlying dynamics, assess the momentum, energy and tracer transport capacity, and test sensitivity to dust haze and topography.
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