Disruption of a Proto-Planetary Disk by the Black Hole at the Center of the Milky Way

Ruth A. Murray-Clay & Abraham Loeb

Institute for Theory & Computation Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Recently, Gillessen et al. (2011) discovered an ionized cloud of gas plunging toward the super-massive black hole, SgrA^{*}, at the center of the Milky Way.¹ The cloud is being tidally disrupted along its path to closest approach at \sim 3100 Schwarzschild radii from the black hole, expected to be reached in 2013. Here, we show that this cloud of gas naturally originates from a proto-planetary disk surrounding a low-mass star, which was scattered a century earlier from the observed circumnuclear ring of young stars around Sgr A^{*}. As the young star approaches the black hole, its disk experiences both photo-evaporation and tidal disruption, producing a cloud with the observed properties. Our model implies that planets form in the Galactic center, and that the debris of proto-planetary disks provides a new flag for low mass stars which otherwise are too faint to be observed directly.

The apocenter of the cloud's orbit, at 0.04pc from the black hole, coincides with the inner edge of SgrA*'s circumnuclear ring.¹ Because this ring contains O stars, its age is ≤ 10 Myr. At such young ages, typical low mass stars host protoplanetary gas disks with radii of order 100 AU.² Given that the black hole mass is $M_{\rm BH} = 4.3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$,^{3,4} the tidal radius around a star of mass m_{\star} at a distance r = 0.04 pc from SgrA* is $r_t \sim r(m_{\star}/3M_{\rm BH})^{1/3} \sim 40$ AU $(m_{\star}/M_{\odot})^{1/3}$. A solar mass star could therefore host a stable

disk with radius of tens of AU on a roughly circular orbit near the inner edge of the circumnuclear ring.

We suggest that the newly discovered gas cloud¹ surrounds such a star, which was recently dislodged from its original ring orbit and is currently on its first plunge toward the supermassive black hole (Figure 1). The star itself is too low mass to be observable (given the high level of dust extinction at the Galactic center), but the debris produced through the disruption of its proto-planetary disk was indeed detected. We first calculate the properties of the system at the cloud's observed location and argue that they match the observations—an ionized cloud ~100 AU in radius with density $n \sim 3 \times 10^5$ cm⁻³, an assumed electron temperature of 10^4 K, and a dust temperature of ~550K, trailed by residual gas. We provide predictions regarding the evolution of the cloud as it approaches pericenter. Finally, we demonstrate that the probability of producing such an object is sufficient to make this interpretation plausible, and we calculate the implied rate of mass deposition by this process within the circumnuclear ring.

Though the tidal radius for a solar-mass star at r = 0.04pc is 40AU, the tidal radius at the cloud's pericenter distance of $r_p \approx 10^{-3}$ pc is only 1AU. At the most recently observed epoch,¹ the cloud was approximately $6r_p$ from the black hole, with a tidal radius of 6AU. Hence, the plunging disk will experience substantial tidal disruption. At the same time, the galactic center hosts an extreme flux of ionizing and FUV photons. Protoplanetary disks in the ionizing environment near O stars in the Trapezium cluster are known to experience photoevaporation.⁵ Storzer & Hollenbach⁶ demonstrate that they experience mass loss due to heating both by FUV and by Lyman limit photons. The former heat the disk to ~ 10^3 K, generating outflows a the sound speed of ~ 3km s⁻¹, corrensponding to the escape velocity at ~100 AU from a solar mass star. Well within this distance, the FUV-driven outflow is diminished, though not entirely quenched.⁷ At the ~10 AU and smaller distances of interest here and given the extreme ionizing environmet, Lyman continuum (ionizing) photons dominated the outflow, generating a $\sim 10^4$ K ionized outflow moving at the sound speed of ~ 10 km s⁻¹. This speed matches the escape velocity at ~ 10 AU from a solar mass star. Loss from smaller distances occurs at a reduced rate, but still generates a ~ 10 km s⁻¹ outflow by the time the gas reaches ~ 10 AU.

Which process—tidal stripping or photoevaporation—dominates mass loss from the disk? Currently, tidal stripping dominates the unbinding of mass from the star, and at large distances from the star, tidal stripping determines the ultimate fate of the gas. However, the outflow properties of the observed cloud are nevertheless currently determined by photoevaporation. This can be understood as follows. Gas at $r_d > r_t$ from its host star is accelerated by the tidal potential to a relative speed of Δv as it moves of order its own radius away from its host star: $\Delta v \sim (GM_{\rm BH}/r^2)(r_d/r)(r_d/\Delta v)$, so that $\Delta v \sim v_{orb}(r_d/r)$, with $v_{orb} = (GM_{\rm BH}/r^3)^{1/2}$. At the cloud's current $r \approx 6 \times 10^{-3} pc \approx 1300 {\rm AU}, v_{orb} \sim 1700 {\rm km s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta v \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, comparable to the wind outflow rate. At earlier times in the star's plunge, Δv was smaller, meaning that wind gas flowed out faster than tidally disrupted gas. Though the current mass disruption rate, M_{dis} is larger than the wind outflow rate, M_w , both estimated below, nevertheless wind gas emitted at earlier times dominates the currently observed cloud. In the short time that the infalling star has spent in an enhanced tidal field with $r_t < r_d$, the disk has only had the opportunity to expand by a few times its 10 AU size. At $r_d = 10$ AU, the time since $r_t = r_d$ along the infalling star's orbit is $\Delta t = 2$ yr. Decoupled material has traveled only $\sim \Delta v \Delta t \sim 4$ AU further from the host star in that time. Figure 2 illustrates this point. We ask how far a test particle, released at a given disk radius, r_d , from the star when $r_t = r_d$ and moving only under the gravity of the black hole, will be from its current orbit. Exterior to the decoupled material, gas originally launched in a wind dominates.

The tidal decoupling rate $\dot{M}_{dis} \sim 2\pi \Sigma r_t \dot{r}_t \sim 2\pi \Sigma r_t \dot{r} (m_\star/3M_{\rm BH})^{1/3}$, where $\Sigma(r)$ is the original surface density of the disk and $\dot{r} \approx 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at the current position in the cloud's orbit. For illustration, we choose a profile similar to the minimum-mass solar nebula: $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 (r_d/r_0)^{-1}$ with $\Sigma_0 = 2 \times 10^3 \text{g/cm}^2$ and $r_0 = 1 \text{AU}$. This choice yields a current $\dot{M}_{dis} \sim 3 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$, where we set r_d equal to the current tidal radius. Photoevaporation, on the other hand, gives mass loss rates of $\dot{M}_w \sim 8 \times 10^{-12} r_d^{3/2} (\Phi_i/d^2)^{1/2} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$,^{8,9} where Φ_i is the ionizing luminosity in units of 10^{49} s⁻¹ of a source at distance d (in pc) from the disk, which has radius r_d in AU. Papers cited by Genzel et al. 2010 measure $10^{50.8}$ s⁻¹ Lyman continuum photons in the central parsec, corresponding to $\Phi_i = 63$. Using $d = 1, \ (\Phi_i/d^2)^{1/2} = 8.$ The central concentration of S stars, within 0.01 pc, which we estimate to contribute $\Phi_i = 0.2$ from each of ten approximately $10 M_{\odot}$ stars comparable to the second-most luminous Trapezium star,⁸ contributes a small total of $\Phi_i = 2$, but in a more concentrated region. At the current position of the cloud, these stars contribute $(\Phi_i/d^2)^{1/2} \sim 230$ for $d = 6 \times 10^{-3}$. At d = 0.04, this number is 35. For $r_d = 10$ AU and smaller, mass loss from these ionizing fluxes dominates over FUV-driven mass loss. Using an intermediate value of 100 for the ionizing flux, at $r_d = 10$ AU, $\dot{M}_w \sim 2 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$ yr⁻¹. This rate may be understood roughly as the total rate at which the disk absorbs ionizing energy divided by the square of the escape velocity.

Currently, gas further than ~25 AU from the star (see Figure 2) was originally ejected in the photoevaporative wind. This ejected material itself undergoes tidal stripping. On the star's original orbit, the extent of the wind moving at ~ 10 km s⁻¹ is set by the 40 AU tidal radius. The time for a parcel of wind to travel from 10 to 40 AU is ~15 yr, smaller than the 70 year time to plunge to pericenter on the cloud's current orbit. This wind-generated cloud in turn experiences tidal disruption with $\Delta v \sim 30$ km s⁻¹ at 40 AU. By its current location, the original wind cloud will have reached an extent of a few hundred AU. Figure 2 illustrates this extent as well. The number density of the observed ionized cloud on a scale of $r_c = 100$ AU is $n = \dot{M}/(m_H 4\pi r_c^2 v_w) = 2 \times 10^4$, comparable to the observed density.

The dust in the wind does not come into temperature equilibrium with the 10^4 K gas. In analogy to dust in HII regions, we balance heating by cooling.

In the tidally disrupted portion of the cloud, the density is much higher, reflecting the substantially larger \dot{M} . As the cloud plunges toward the supermassive black hole, we predict that its density at 100 AU scales will increase by several orders of magnitude. Figure 2b provides a comparable plot to Figure 2a for the pericenter distance. This disrupted gas, however, will not all be ionized.

How likely is it that such a star would plunge toward the black hole? Given that the star is undergoing its first inward plunge, we require similar events to occur roughly once per half the orbital time of the cloud P_c , implying a rate $f \sim 2/P_c \sim (70 \text{ yr})^{-1}$. If we conservatively ignore the enhancement in the scattering rate due to binaries, the star must have encountered a strong scattering off a massive perturbing star of mass m_{pert} at an impact parameter $b \leq Gm_{\text{pert}}/\sigma_*^2$. The rate of such an event is $f \sim pN_*[N_{\text{pert}}/(\pi r_d^2 h_d)]\pi b^2 \sigma_* \sim pN_* N_{\text{pert}} b^2 \Omega_d/r_d^2$, where N_* is the number of low-mass stars in the circumnuclear ring, N_{pert} is the number of perturbing ring stars, σ_* is the velocity dispersion of ring stars, r_d is the ring radius, $h_d \sim \sigma_*/\Omega$ is the ring scale height, and $\Omega = (GM_{\text{BH}}/r_d^3)^{1/2}$ is its angular orbital velocity. Since $f \propto N_{\text{pert}}M_{\text{pert}}^2$, high-mass stars provide the most frequent strong scatterings. We estimate the probability of a strongly scattered star being directed toward SgrA* as $p \sim r_p/\pi r_d$.

For the inferred top-heavy mass function of stars in the circumnuclear ring,¹⁰ the number of stars above mass $m_s tar$ scales as $N_\star \propto m_\star^{0.55\pm0.3}$. We obtain that the required number of stars in the ring to scatter a low mass star at the appropriate rate is, $N_\star \sim 3 \times 10^4 (m_\star/M_\odot)^{0.275} (m_{\text{pert}}/20M_\odot)^{-1.275}$, where we have used $r_d = 0.04$ pc, the location of the proposed initial stellar orbit at the inner edge of the circumnuclear ring, and a ring thickness of $\sim 10^{\circ}$.¹¹ The required mass in the circumnuclear ring is reasonable, $\sim 5 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$.

The nominal protoplanetary disk described above contains $\sim 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$ of gas between $r_{t,p} \sim 1$ AU and $r_{t,i} \sim 10$ AU. If such a disk is disrupted every $P_c/2 \sim 70$ yr, such events deliver $\sim 10^{-4} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ to the inner 10^{-3} pc around SgrA*, substantially in excess of the presently inferred accretion rate onto the black hole.¹²

The existence of proto-planetary disks in galactic nuclei has important implications: it could lead to a fragmentation cascade to comets, asteroids, and dust around quasars,¹³ and to bright flares due to the tidal disruption of planets.¹⁴ In our Galactic center, the luminous debris of proto-planetary disks offers a new flag for the low mass end of the the mass function of stars which are otherwise too faint to be observed directly.

REFERENCES

¹Gillessen, S. *et al.*, A Gas Cloud on Its Way Towards the Supermassive Black Hole at the Galactic Centre *Nature* (2011).

 $\mathbf{2}$

- ³Gillessen, S. *et al.*, The Orbit of the Star S2 Around SGR A* from Very Large Telescope and Keck Data, *Astrophys. J.* **707**, L114-L117 (2009).
- ⁴Ghez, A. et al., Measuring Distance and Properties of the Milky Way's Central Supermassive Black Hole with Stellar Orbits, Astrophys. J. 689, 1044-1062 (2008).

5			
6			
7			
8			
q			

¹⁰Bartko, H., et al., An Extremely Top-Heavy Initial Mass Function in the Galactic Center Stellar Disks, Astrophys. J. 708, 834-840 (2010).

11

- ¹²Narayan, R., & McClintock, J. E., Advection-Dominated Accretion and the Black Hole Event Horizon, New Astronomy Reviews 51, 733-751 (2008).
- ¹³Nayakshin, S., Sazonov, S.,& Sunyaev, R., Are Supermassive Black Holes Shrouded by 'Super-Oort' Clouds of Comets and Asteroids?, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., in press (2011).

 $^{14}\mathrm{Ginsburg},\,\mathrm{I.},\,\&$ Loeb, A., in preparation (2012).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Andi Burkert and Reinhard Genzel for stimulating discussions. AL was supported in part by NSF grant AST-0907890 and NASA grants NNX08AL43G and NNA09DB30A.

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS ${\rm IAT}_{\rm E}{\rm X}$ macros v4.0.